07 - LA - 101 - 32.8/33.8 EA 307100– PPNO-4766 20.XX.80.030 – Structure April, 2018

# Project Report Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing

# For Project Approval

## IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY IN AGOURA HILLS WEST OF LIBERTY CANYON ROAD UNDERCROSSING

I have reviewed the right-of-way information contained in this report and the right-ofway data sheet attached hereto, and find the data to be complete, current and accurate:

Andrew P. Nierenberg, DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR, RIGHT OF WAY

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

Sheik M. Moinuddin, PROJECT MANAGER

PROJECT APPROVED:

4-30-18

Carrie Bowen, DISTRICT DIRECTOR

DATE

Vicinity Map



This project report has been prepared under the direction of the following registered civil engineer. The registered civil engineer attests to the technical information contained herein and the engineering data upon which recommendations, conclusions, and decisions are based.

REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER



DATE

# **Table of Contents**

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	RECOMMENDATION	1
3.	BACKGROUND	1
4.	PURPOSE AND NEED	3
5.	ALTERNATIVES	4
6.	CONSIDERATIONS REQUIRING DISCUSSION	6
7.	OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AS APPROPRIATE	8
8.	FUNDING, PROGRAMMING AND ESTIMATE	9
9.	DELIVERY SCHEDULE	10
10	. RISKS	10
11	. EXTERNAL AGENCY COORDINATION	10
12	. PROJECT REVIEWS	11
13	. PROJECT PERSONNEL	11
14	. ATTACHMENTS	—— 11

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in cooperation with the Mountain Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA) proposes to construct a vegetated bridge across US-101 west of Liberty Canyon Road in the City of Agoura Hills in Los Angeles County to function as a wildlife crossing. The project is sponsored by the MRCA, which is a local partnership among the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, the Conejo Recreation and Park District, and the Rancho Simi Recreation and Park District.

Project Limits	07-LA-101				
	32.8/33.8				
Number of Alternatives	3 (Including No Build A	Alternative)			
	Current Cost	Escalated Cost			
	Estimate:	Estimate:			
<b>Capital Outlay Support</b>	\$20,376,000	\$20,376,000			
<b>Capital Outlay Construction</b>	\$41,698,000	\$45,872,000			
Capital Outlay Right-of-Way	\$16,320,000	\$21,848,000			
Funding Source	Private				
Funding Year	TBD				
Type of Facility	Wildlife Crossing (Structure - Bridge)				
Number of Structures	Two (2)				
<b>Environmental Determination</b>	Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration				
or Environmental Document	(IS/MND), Environmental				
	Assessment/Finding of No Significant Impact				
	(EA/FONSI)				
Legal Description	In Los Angeles County in Agoura Hills west of				
	Liberty Canyon Road Undercrossing				
Project Development Category	4				

### 2. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Project Report (PR) be approved with the preferred alternative and proceed to the PS&E phase.

# 3. BACKGROUND

US-101 is a major north-south arterial highway in the State of California. US-101 is designated as a freeway and is commonly known as the Hollywood Freeway to the south of the Route 101/170/134 interchange, and as the Ventura Freeway to north of the interchange. It is designated as a Surface Transportation Assistance Act (STAA) truck route, allowing large trucks to operate on this route. It is part of the Federal Aid Primary (FAP) system, which is a subset of the National Highway System. It also acts as the primary access route to and from downtown Los Angeles, various residential

communities, tourist destinations in Los Angeles and the central California coast. This segment of US-101 within the project limits is an 8-lane freeway and has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) of 304,000 with 5.45% truck traffic.

Within the project limits, US-101 passes through the City of Agoura Hills separating the Santa Monica Mountains to the south from the Simi Hills and Santa Susana Mountains to the north. US-101 is a formidable and virtually impenetrable barrier for many wildlife species including mountain lions, bobcats, gray foxes, coyotes and mule deer that inhabit and travel between these two mountain ranges. In particular, mammals with large home ranges such as mountain lions and bobcats need large connected habitats in order to hunt, breed and thrive. The construction of US-101 divided this previously continuous habitat range into isolated habitat fragments and resulted in severely restricted movement between the two mountain ranges. For mountain lions in particular, the consequences of this restriction results in increased inbreeding, territorial fighting and very low genetic diversity within the Santa Monica Mountains.

The wildlife crossing is critical in the linkage between the Santa Monica Mountains and the Sierra Madre Range, which is one of the few coastal to inland connections remaining in Southern California. Both the South Coast Missing Linkages Project and the California Essential Habitat Connectivity Project identified the need to preserve and enhance this critical linkage in order to sustain ecological and developmental processes in California's South Coast Eco region. Additionally, the National Park Service has been collecting and publishing data on carnivore movement for over a decade, thereby validating the importance of a linkage for sustaining wildlife populations in the Santa Monica Mountains.

Analysis of genetics and tracking of range size conducted by the National Park Service has indicated that providing wildlife connectivity through intervening natural areas in the Simi Hills, Santa Susana Mountains and to the larger ecosystem of the Sierra Madre Range is imperative for preserving a viable mountain lion population in the Santa Monica Mountains. The genetic diversity of mountain lions in the Santa Monica Mountains was determined to be lower than anywhere else in the state of California, or anywhere else throughout the species range in the Western United States.

Connectivity is not just important for mountain lions, the National Park Service data has shown that bobcats and coyotes are also exhibiting significant genetic effects in the relatively short period since the freeway was built; and research continues to show that smaller species including lizards and birds are affected by the habitat fragmentation caused by roads and urban development. Without a safe and sustainable wildlife crossing, movement between these remaining areas of natural habitat is severely restricted and wildlife within the Santa Monica Mountains is essentially trapped.

The Liberty Canyon area was identified by scientists and experts working in the field of wildlife movement as the optimal location for a safe and sustainable wildlife crossing across US-101; as of the date of this report, 18 mountain lions have been killed while attempting to migrate into the Santa Monica Mountains. This location's prime habitat

has already been protected on both sides of the freeway and is contiguous with large swaths of protected habitat to the north and south of this connection making it a viable solution for connectivity within the Santa Monica Mountains.

# 4. PURPOSE AND NEED

#### **Purpose:**

The purpose of this project is to construct a vegetated bridge across US-101 west of Liberty Canyon Road in the City of Agoura Hills in Los Angeles County to function as a wildlife crossing. The wildlife crossing shall provide a safe and sustainable passage for wildlife across US-101 that reduces accidents and wildlife mortality, allows for the safe movement of animals and the exchange of genetic material.

### Need:

The need of the proposed project is based on genetic and tracking data that shows US-101 is a barrier to wildlife that historically traveled between the Santa Monica Mountains and Simi Hills to connect with the Santa Monica Mountains and to the Sierra Madre mountain range north of Highway 126. In particular, large mammals such as mountain lions and bobcats need large connected habitats in order to hunt, breed and thrive.

US-101 divides this previously contiguous range into isolated habitat fragments; for mountain lions, this has resulted in inbreeding, territorial fighting, and a decrease in genetic diversity. Connectivity is critical for all kinds of wildlife, especially in an area such as Los Angeles with an extensive freeway system.

#### A. Problem, Deficiencies, Justification

Environmental impacts and mitigation measures were not considered nor required when US-101 and most of Southern California's freeways were built in the 1950s. As a result the construction of US-101 divided the previously connected natural habitat ranges of many wildlife species. Currently, a safe and sustainable wildlife crossing across US-101 is not available. Without the addition of a wildlife crossing, the ecological and environmental impact on wildlife that resulted from the construction of US-101 will persist and the fate of many wildlife species within the Santa Monica Mountains will remain at risk.

### B. Corridor and System Coordination

In District 7, US-101 extends 83.1 miles; 39.5 miles are in Los Angeles County and 43.6 miles are in Ventura County. US-101 is part of the Federal Aid Primary (FAP) system, which is a subset of the National Highway System (NHS). The proposed project is located along the freeway segment between State Route 27 and the Los Angeles/Ventura County Line. This segment is classified as Rural Principal Arterial under FAP and State Freeway under the State Highway System (SHS).

### C. Traffic

The latest Transportation Concept Report (TCR) for US-101 was completed in July

2013. The TCR identifies the existing and future route conditions as well as future needs for each route on the SHS. Currently, US-101 within the project limits has four mixed flow lanes (MFL) and an auxiliary lane in each direction. The existing Level of Service (LOS) for this segment of the freeway is F. Due to economic, environmental, right of way, and other constraints, it is not feasible for Caltrans to continue to add more lanes to the highway system. With these limitations, Caltrans District 7 has established LOS F0 as the minimum acceptable LOS on the freeway system. In accordance with the "CONCEPT - 2035 Facility" table in the TCR, eight (8) total MFLs [four (4) MFLs in each direction] are needed in the year of 2035 to maintain LOS of F0 within the project segment of the freeway. Thus, no widening of this segment of the freeway is planned at this time.

# 5. ALTERNATIVES

## **5A. Viable Alternatives**

As a result of Public Hearing, Alternative 2, Design Option 2 was selected to be the Preferred Alternative for this project.

## No Build Alternative

The No Build alternative will maintain the existing configuration of US-101 and no additional infrastructure will be constructed to enhance wildlife connectivity across the freeway. This alternative does not satisfy the purpose and need for this project and will result in the continued restriction of wildlife movement into and out of the Sana Monica Mountains and threaten the long-term viability of wildlife species within it. Currently, a separate project is constructing permanent directional fencing to funnel wildlife to the existing Liberty Canyon Road undercrossing.

### Alternative 1

Alternative 1 proposes to build a vegetated bridge across US-101 west of Liberty Canyon Road. The scope of work includes:

- Construct a two span 165-foot wide by 200-foot long bridge with columns on spread footings in the freeway median.
- Construct retaining walls at both the north and south end of the bridge.
- Construct sound walls along the outer edges of the bridge to mitigate traffic noise and block light in order to make the crossing more conducive to wildlife crossing.
- Plant vegetation on the bridge to provide a passage that resembles the natural habitat for wildlife.

# Alternative 2

Alternative 2 includes the structure described in Alternative 1 with the addition of an extension crossing over Agoura Road, which runs parallel to US-101 at this location. The scope of work includes:

- Construct a tunnel with retaining wall systems along Agoura Road to keep the road operational and to support the fill materials required by the wildlife crossing.
- Fill and grade the slope area between the freeway and Agoura Road to provide a continuous grade allowing the wildlife crossing to extend over Agoura Road before descending to join the existing ground.

Alternative 2 has two design options:

- <u>Design Option 1</u> Construct a 48-foot wide bridge and associated retaining wall system along Agoura Road to keep the road operational and to accommodate the fill material needed to construct the wildlife crossing.
- <u>Design Option 2</u> Construct a 54-foot wide bridge and associated retaining wall system along Agoura Road to keep the road operational and to accommodate the fill material needed to construct the wildlife crossing.

Both design options for the proposed overcrossing over Agoura Road will consist of a maximum vertical clearance of 16.5 feet. Under both alternatives 1 & 2, the permanent directional fencing may be modified to funnel wildlife to the new vegetated bridge.

The Structure Advance Planning Study (APS) for the proposed bridge, tunnel, and associated improvements are included in the attachments. See attachments for the APS.

This alternative can be constructed in two phases if funding is limited. The first phase would consist of the construction of the bridge over US-101. This would meet the immediate need to provide a wildlife crossing across the freeway. The escalated capital and support cost for this phase is approximately \$72 million dollars.

The second phase would consist of the construction of the Agoura Road tunnel and grading of the area between US-101 and Agoura Road to allow the wildlife crossing to extend over Agoura Road, which is currently a two-lane road that does not have high traffic volumes. If traffic volumes increase due to nearby residential and commercial development, Agoura Road would be widened per City of Agoura Hills's requirements. The escalated capital and support cost for this phase is approximately \$16 million dollars.

<u>Nonstandard Mandatory & Advisory Design Features</u> The following nonstandard features have been identified:

Nonstandard Shoulder Width, NB Mainline Shoulder, Highway Design Manual, (HDM) Index 302.1

The existing left shoulder width for NB US-101 within the project limits is 10 feet. The widths of the proposed bridge columns (4 feet in diameter) and associated concrete barrier (Type 60F) will reduce the left shoulder that varies from 10 feet to 3 feet, for a distance of approximately 472 feet along the freeway centerline.

Nonstandard Stopping Sight Distance on Horizontal Curve, SB Mainline, HDM Index 201.6

The bridge columns will be placed along the portion of the freeway with an 1800-foot radius horizontal curve along the centerline. The proposed columns and reduced shoulder width will result in a stopping sight distance of approximately 470 feet for a design speed of 70 mph along the inside lane of SB mainline.

### Nonstandard Side Slope, HDM Index 304.1

The area between the proposed vegetated bridge (US-101) and Agoura Rd Tunnel will be filled and achieve a side slope range of 2:1 to 3:1. The new construction is a wildlife crossing and will not have vehicular traffic. The placement of retaining walls, sound walls, concrete barriers and guardrail will serve as mitigation for the traveling public.

# **5B. Rejected Alternatives**

Two other alternatives were identified for this project, but were not fully developed due to recommendations from Caltrans' Project Delivery Team. Both alternatives proposed constructing a tunnel under State Route 101 to serve as wildlife crossing. Neither tunnel would convey wildlife across and to the south of Agoura Road since they would have entrances to the north of Agoura Road, adjacent to State Route 101.

### Rejected Alternative 1

The first tunnel is a 13 feet wide by 13 feet high jacked box culvert located west of Liberty Canyon Road. This tunnel would not accommodate the same wide range of wildlife species as an overcrossing. A tunnel study, originally done by the Federal Highway Administration Central Federal Lands Highway Division (FHWA-CFLHD) in 2010 and updated in 2015, estimated the cost at approximately \$19.7 million (see Study the Project Report visiting Caltrans website by the at www.dot.ca.gov/dist070/travel/projects/libertycanyon). It was agreed that the costbenefit would not achieve the goals of the project.

# Rejected Alternative 2

The second tunnel is a 32 feet wide by 15 feet high cast-in-place rectangular culvert located west of Liberty Canyon Road. This tunnel was not considered feasible due to constructability considerations and high traffic impacts. Specifically, such a large tunnel would require using the cut-and-cover technique and the closure of State Route 101, one of the busiest freeways in the region. In addition, it was estimated that such a large tunnel would be more costly than an overcrossing.

# 6. CONSIDERATIONS REQUIRING DISCUSSION

### 6A.Hazardous Waste

The preliminary Hazardous Waste Assessment prepared on June 14, 2017 (Attachment D) for this project, finds that construction activities involving imported soil backfill will not have hazardous waste issues unless the existing freeway shoulder surfaces are disturbed before they are covered with imported fill material. If the exposed sites are

disturbed, the exposed soils are likely to be lead-impacted and will require an Aerially Deposited Lead (ADL) site investigation. The Advance Planning Study (APS) dated September 29, 2017 proposes Cast-In-Drilled-Holes (CIDH) piles for bridge support which will require a new Hazardous Waste Assessment. The new assessment will investigate the elevation of groundwater in the project area. If groundwater elevation is below the endpoints of piles, the site should not have any issues; otherwise it will need to be screened for potential contaminants for disposal purposes.

### 6B. Landscaping

The bridge across US-101 and fill area between the freeway and Agoura Road will be landscaped to provide a continuous passage that resembles a natural habitat for the wildlife. The landscape design will be provided by MRCA.

## 6C. Storm water

The Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB) has jurisdiction over the project area. The total disturbed area (TDA) for the project is approximately 8.0 acres.

A Storm Water Data Report (SWDR) was prepared in accordance with the Storm Water Quality Handbook-Project Planning Design Guide (PPDG) and approved on April 26, 2018.

## 6D.Right-of-Way Issues

The preferred alternative would require 1) relocation of utilities identified in the right of way data sheet and 2) right of way from MRCA, City of Agoura Hills, and private property owners. A small parcel is required to complete this project and will be acquired by MRCA before construction and maintained by MRCA once construction is complete. The parcel is located outside of Caltrans right of way. The Right of Way Data Sheet was approved on April 19, 2018.

### **6E. Environmental Compliance**

A Draft Initial Study with Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND)/Environmental Assessment with Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was prepared and circulated on September 1, 2017. A Public hearing was held in October 2017 and public comments were collected. The final MND/FONSI was approved on April 12, 2018.

### **6F. Air Quality Conformity**

Air Quality Assessment was prepared September 2017 and included in the MND/FONSI.

### 6G. Railroad

Railroad impacts are not anticipated for this project since no rail systems exist within the project limits.

### 6H. Noise Abatement Decision Report

Traffic Noise Study Report was prepared in August 2017 and included in the ED.

## 6I. Value Analysis

Value Analysis (VA) studies are required on all federally-aided projects greater than \$50 million or more on the National Highway System (NHS) and on bridge projects \$40 million or more. At this time, all funding for the Wildlife Crossing project is from private sources. However, a VA may be processed for possible future federal-aid funding during PS&E.

## 6J. Maintenance

The proposed wildlife crossing bridge structure to be constructed over US-101 is located within State Right of Way and will be maintained by Caltrans. The structure/tunnel over Agoura Rd is in the City of Agoura Hills Right of Way and will not be maintained by Caltrans. Also a letter from the City of Agoura Hills to Caltrans Environmental (dated January 28, 2016) states the city's position not to maintain or inherit maintenance of structure/tunnel over Agoura Road. A Maintenance Agreement needs to be prepared and executed by others for maintenance of the structure/tunnel over Agoura Road.

# 7. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AS APPROPRIATE

## Public Hearing Process

A public hearing was held on October 12, 2017 to review the conceptual improvements to the community and obtain feedback from the public and other stake holders. Based on the comments received from the City of Agoura hills during the comment period, Caltrans and the project stake holders worked to refine Build Alternative 2 which defined the parameters of the structure over Agoura Road, width of 54 feet and a maximum vertical clearance of 16 feet 6 inches.

Permits

Proposed permits and approvals required for construction of the project are (but not limited to):

California Department of Fish and Wildlife - 1602 Permit California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) - Encroachment Permit California State Water Resources Control Board - 402 Permit California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) City of Agoura Hills - Encroachment Permit Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) - Air Quality Conformity Determination Utility Agencies - Utility Agreements

### Transportation Management Plan

A Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Data Sheet was approved on March 19, 2015. The TMP was prepared to minimize delay and inconvenience to the traveling public during the construction of the proposed improvements by using press releases, paid advertising and the internet as the primary channels for public information. The preliminary cost estimate for the TMP is included in the attachments.

### Stage Construction

The project will construct a bridge for wildlife crossing and will use stage construction to install and remove false work over US-101. Access points to properties will be accessible throughout the duration of construction and the minimum eleven-foot-wide lanes will be maintained.

A detailed stage construction plan will be developed during the Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&E) stage.

#### Graffiti Control

The following measures will be implemented during design, where feasible, as a deterrent to graffiti:

- Avoidance of smooth surfaces, where feasible
- Use of drought tolerant planting, where appropriate, to cover surfaces

# 8. FUNDING, PROGRAMMING AND ESTIMATE

#### **Funding**

It has been determined that this project is eligible for Federal-aid funding.

Fund Source	Fiscal Year Estimate									
20.80.030	Prior	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	Future	Total	Historical Support / Cap Ratio
Component	In thousands of dollars (\$1,000)									
PA&ED Support		700	400	76					1,176	*
PS&E Support					2,500	4,300	700		7,500	*
Right-of-Way Support					500	500	200		1,200	*
Construction Support					500	1,500	4,500	4,000	10,500	*
Right-of-Way					1,847	20,000			21,847	
Construction							33,400	12,470	45,870	
Total		700	500	300	5,347	26,300	38,800	16,470	88,093	

#### Programming

The support cost ratio is 30.08%.

\* There is no Historical comparison for this type of project, however historical support to cost ratio for bridge replacement is about 28% (EA0E960)

#### <u>Estimate</u>

See attachments for the Cost Estimate.

# 9. DELIVERYSCHEDULE

Project Milectones	Milestone Date	
Floject Milestolles		(Month/Day/Year)
PROGRAM PROJECT	M015	5/1/2015A
BEGIN ENVIRONMENTAL	M020	10/1/2015A
CIRCULATE DPR & DED EXTERNALLY	M120	10/30/2017A
PA & ED	M200	04/30/18A
BEGIN STRUCTURE	M215	6/1/2018
PS&E TO DOE	M377	5/2/2020
DRAFT STRUCTURES PS&E	M378	7/15/2020
PROJECT PS&E	M380	7/13/2020
RIGHT OF WAY CERTIFICATION	M410	7/01/2020
READY TO LIST	M460	7/29/2020
HEADQUARTERS ADVERTISE	M480	1/25/2021
AWARD	M495	2/26/2021
APPROVE CONTRACT	M500	4/15/2021
CONTRACT ACCEPTANCE	M600	3/30/2023
END PROJECT EXPENDITURES	M800	12/30/2025
FINAL PROJECT CLOSEOUT	M900	12/30/2025

\*Dates are tentative

### 10. RISKS

A quantitative analysis of identified risks were prepared and registered in the risk register. See attachments for the Risk Register.

# **11. EXTERNAL AGENCY COORDINATION**

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)

This project is considered to be an Assigned Project in accordance with the current Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Joint Stewardship and Oversight Agreement.

Project Partners and Stakeholders:

California State Coastal Conservancy Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA) National Park Service National Wildlife Federation Resources Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mountains (RCDSMM) Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy California Department of Fish and Wildlife California State Parks California Wildlife Conservation Board City of Agoura Hills City of Calabasas City of Thousand Oaks US Fish and Wildlife Service Assembly Member Richard Bloom Congressmen Ted Lieu Senator Fran Pavley Senator Henry Stern Los Angeles County Supervisor Sheila Kuehl Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks US Army Corps of Engineers Regional Water Quality Control Board

#### **12. PROJECT REVIEWS**

District Maintenance	N/A	Date
District Design Liason	Zebunnesa Tareque	Date 04/09/18
Project Manager	Sheik Moinuddin	Date 04/12/18
FHWA	N/A	Date
Constructability Review_	Ulysses Smpardos	Date <u>10/10/17</u>

### **13. PROJECT PERSONNEL**

Susan Tse Koo, Caltrans Senior Environmental Planner Francis Appiah, Caltrans Associate Environmental Planner Andrew Yoon, Caltrans Senior Transportation Engineer, Air Quality Branch Penny Nakashima, Caltrans Senior Engineer Geologist, Hazardous Waste Branch Sheik Moinuddin, Caltrans Project Manager Ulysses Smpardos, Caltrans Structure Engineer, Bridge Design South Khan Hossain, Caltrans Office Manager, Design C Lohit Kotha, Caltrans Design Manager O'Donna Blackstock, Caltrans Project Engineer Clark Steven, Architect, New West Land Company Seth Riley, National Parks Service Beth Pratt, National Wildlife Foundation Paul Edelman, Chief of Natural Resources & Planning, MRCA

#### **14. ATTACHMENTS**

- A. Layout & Advanced Planning Study (APS)
- B. Environmental Document (ED)
- C. Hazardous Waste Assessment
- D. Storm Water Data Report (SWDR)
- E. Right of Way Data Sheet
- F. Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Data Sheet
- G. Risk Register
- H. Cost Estimate

# **ATTACHMENT A**





Dist	COUNTY	ROUTE	POST MILE	
07	LA	101	32.8/33.8	

Indicates point of Minimum Vertical Clearance Indicates limits of imported fill and vegetation Concrete Parapet Plexiglass or masonry block sound wall, 8' (Min) high Retaining Wall, Type 1 Concrete Barrier Type 60D Imported fill and vegetation Concrete Barrier Type 60F Architectural Treatment

- 1. Traffic will pass through construction site; therefore, falsework openings are required. Based on information available at the time of this study, there is sufficient vertical clearance to provide a minimum of 15'-0" under falsework.
- 2. Temporary railing to be placed by others.
- 3. Existing utilities and signs in conflict to be removed or relocated by others. 4. Traffic control and staging to be handled by District.
- 5. Existing Rte 101 alignment to remain unchanged. 6. Existing alignment of Agoura Road to remain unchanged.
- 7. Extent of imported fill and vegetation beyond structure limits to be handled by District.

CTURE DESIGN	NING STUDY	
IGN BRANCH	LIBER Wildlife (	TY CANYON Crossing, 1 of 3
15	UNIT: 3604	BRIDGE No.: TBD
	CONTRACT No.: 07-30710K	PROJECT No. & PHASE: 0714000213



S

ЦO

DIVISION

1

L

0

Т

ЧО

ш

F

F

S

		Dist	COUNTY	ROUTE	POST MILE
		07	LA	101	32.8/33.8
		<u> </u>			
	Ì ò				
<u> </u>					
	R = 6	′-0''			
	R = 10				
	Typ 0''				
	5′-0" ×	5'-0" (			
	SHOWN W	ITHIN I	PIER , T	ot 10	
	FG				
	<u> </u>				
	Q 4,-0				
)5/17					
60.00					
6.00					
210					
170					
700					
5.51					
00.00					
	ROUTE Ovei	101 RCR	WII OSS	LDLI ING	FE
CTURE DESIGN					v
	PLAN				T

URE DESIGN	PLANNING STUDY				
N BRANCH	LIBER Wildlife (	TY CANYON Crossing, 2 of 3			
15	UNIT: 3604	BRIDGE No.: TBD			
	CONTRACT No.:07-30710K	PROJECT No. & PHASE: 0714000213			



IRE DESIGN	PLAN	NING STUDY
BRANCH	LIBER WILDLIFE (	TY CANYON Rossing, 3 of 3
5	UNIT: 3604	BRIDGE No.: TBD
	CONTRACT No.: 07-30710K	PROJECT No. & PHASE: 0714000213

# **ATTACHMENT B**

### MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to: Division 13, Public Resources Code

#### **Project Description**

The California Department of Transportation (the Department) proposes to construct a wildlife crossing over U.S. Route 101 west of Liberty Canyon Road (postmile 32.8 to postmile 33.8) in the City of Agoura Hills in Los Angeles County.

#### Determination

The Department has prepared an Initial Study for this project, and following public review, has determined from this study that the proposed project would not have significant effect on the environment for the following reasons:

The project would have no effect on wild and scenic rivers, farmland, timberland, coastal zone, paleontology, cultural resources, mineral resources and floodplain.

In addition, the project would have less than significant effects to visuals/aesthetics, geology, hazardous waste, air quality and noise, and transportation.

With the following mitigation measures incorporated, the project would have less than significant effects to construction related impacts on biological resources, and hydrology and water quality.

Avoidance, minimization and mitigation measures include pre-construction surveys, vegetation and replanting, post-construction monitoring and evaluation, and water quality permits.

**ND KOSINSKI** 

April 12, 2018 Date

RONALD KOSINSKI Deputy District Director Division of Environmental Planning, District 7 California Department of Transportation

#### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (FONSI)

Liberty Canyon Wildlife Habitat Connectivity Project

#### FOR

The California Department of Transportation has determined that Alternative 2 Design Option 2 will have no significant impact on the human environment. This FONSI is based on the attached Environmental Assessment (EA) and Initial Study with Mitigated Negative Declaration which has been independently evaluated by Caltrans and determined to adequately and accurately discuss the need, environmental issues, and impacts of the proposed project and appropriate mitigation measures. It provides sufficient evidence and analysis for determining that an Environmental Impact Statement is not required. Caltrans takes full responsibility for the accuracy, scope, and content of the attached EA and Initial Study with a Mitigated Negative Declaration.

The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.

or, 1 12, 2018

RONALD KOSINSKI Caltrans Deputy District Director

# **ATTACHMENT C**

# Memorandum

To: SUSAN TSE SENIOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING Making Conservation a California Way of Life.

Date: June 14, 2017

File: 07-LA-101-PM 31.9/33.7 EA: 07-334-307100 EFIS:1847-0714000213

Wildlife Overcrossing

From: PENNY NAKASHIMA, P.G. Senior Engineering Geologist Office of Environmental Engineering (OEE) District Hazardous Waste Branch - North Region

#### Subject: HAZARDOUS WASTE ASSESSMENT

This is in response to your request for a review of the Liberty Canyon Project Summary Report and a hazardous waste assessment and ISA, which we received on May 10, 2017. This project will construct a vegetated bridge to facilitate passage of wildlife between the Santa Monica Mountains and the Simi Hills, ultimately connecting to the Sierra Madre Mountain Range. There is one No-Build Alternative and two Build Alternatives under consideration:

#### **Build Alternative 1**

This alternative proposes to build a vegetated bridge across US-101 west of Liberty Canyon Road. The scope of work includes:

- Constructing a two span 165-foot wide by 200-foot long bridge with columns on spread footings in the freeway median.
- Constructing retaining walls at both the north and south end of the bridge.
- Constructing soundwalls along the outer edges of the bridge to mitigate traffic noise and block light in order to make the crossing more conductive to wildlife crossing.
- Planting vegetation on and adjacent to the bridge to create an extension of the surrounding wildlife habitat and connect the crossing to the existing riparian corridor.
- Installing irrigation and drainage systems on the bridge.
- Filling and grading the slope and open area between the freeway and Agoura Road from the southern bridge abutment down to the shoulder of Agoura Road.
- Modifying or replacing existing wildlife fencing from Lost Hills Road (post mile PM 31.9) to Palo Comado Canyon Road (Cheseboro Road exit, PM 33.7) to prohibit wildlife from accessing US-101 and funnel wildlife to the overcrossing.
- Constructing a multi-use, single-track recreational trail on the overcrossing.

#### **Build Alternative 2**

This alternative proposes the same design as Build Alternative 1 with the addition of an extension of the overcrossing over Agoura Road, which runs parallel to US-101 at this location. The scope of work includes:

• Constructing a two span 165-foot wide by 200-foot long bridge with columns on spread footings in the freeway median.

EA: 07-334-307100 June 14, 2017 Page 2 of 3

- Constructing retaining walls at both the north and south end of the bridge.
- Constructing a 48-foot wide tunnel and associated retaining wall system along Agoura Road to keep the road operational and to accommodate the fill material needed to construct the wildlife crossing.
- Grading and fill the slope between the bridge abutment and Agoura Road south of the freeway to grade to allow the crossing to extend over Agoura Road before descending to join existing ground.
- Constructing soundwalls along the outer edges of the bridge to mitigate traffic noise and block light in order to make the crossing more conductive to wildlife crossing.
- Planting vegetation on and adjacent to the bridge to create an extension of the surrounding wildlife habitat and connect the crossing to the existing riparian corridor.
- Installing irrigation and drainage systems on the bridge.
- Filling and grading the slope and open area between the freeway and Agoura Road from the southern bridge abutment down to the shoulder of Agoura Road.
- Modifying or replacing existing wildlife fencing from Lost Hills Road (post mile PM 31.9) to Palo Comado Canyon Road (Cheseboro Road exit, PM 33.7) to prohibit wildlife from accessing US-101 and funnel wildlife to the overcrossing.
- Constructing a multi-use, single-track recreational trail on the overcrossing.

Based on the available information we have on file and the information submitted, the following are general conclusions regarding hazardous waste issues on this project:

- 1. The Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board's GeoTracker and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control's EnviroStor regulatory agency environmental databases were researched to identify potential recognized environmental concerns. Based on our review, no evidence of hazardous waste sites were found to exist at or in the vicinity of the property acquisition. There are no gas stations or facilities with underground storage tanks in the vicinity of the proposed aquisition that may be a potential hazardous waste concern.
- 2. Fencing work as proposed on the Liberty Canyon Wildlife Fencing Summary plan does not have any hazardous waste issues. The proposed wildlife jump ramps to be constructed involve minimal disturbance and no excavation of unpaved soil. Therefore, aerially deposited lead (ADL) site investigation (SI) for this work is not required.
- 3. Wildlife jump ramps and landscape fill materials need to be tested for contaminants prior to acceptance. Imported borrow fill materials need to be free of contaminants. This will require testing of soil source prior to acceptance and placement at detection limits that are below concentrations that have adverse impacts on ecological (animal) receptors. OEE will prepare the non-standard special provisions (NSSP) for the sampling and analysis of soil by the contractor for RE approval prior to acceptance. The NSSPs requires approval from HQ Construction Engineering and HQ Office of Construction Contract Standards.
- 4. An ADL Site Investigation Report, by Stantec, on Route 101 PM 29.1/38.2 (Task Order No. 27 on Contract 07A3322, dated October 15, 2015) indicates that the exposed soil adjacent to the freeway is hazardous material with soluble lead exceeding Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration (STLC) of 5 mg/L. Disturbance of unpaved soil involving excavation for bridge abutments and retaining/wing walls will require an ADL

site investigation. We will initiate the SI upon receiving a hazardous waste assessment request at the early stage of PS&E. The SI will take approximately 3 months to complete.

5. Based on the current Structure advance planning study, pile foundation is not anticipated. Therefore, groundwater disposal is not a hazardous waste concern.

The project involves removal of existing metal beam guard railing with wood posts. The existing wood posts have been treated with chemical preservatives that contain arsenic, chromium, copper, creosol, and pentachlorophenol to protect it from insect attack and fungal decay. All treated wood waste must be managed and disposed of at an approved treated wood waste facility in accordance with Title 22 California Code of Regulations. Funding needs be allocated for the management (handling, storing, transportation, and disposal) of treated wood waste and the Board of Equalization (BOE) fee.

Asbestos containing materials (ACM) may be encountered during metal beam guard railing removal work. The shims used in metal beam guard railings have been found to contain asbestos. An asbestos survey is required to identify ACM in the project. OEE will prepare and seek approval for the asbestos survey non-standard special provision (NSSP) during the PS&E phase.

In general, the top two feet of soil in unpaved areas adjacent to the roadway is expected to contain high concentrations of ADL contaminant. The Contractor will be required to prepare a project specific Lead Compliance Plan (LCP) to prevent or minimize worker exposure to lead contaminant in the soil. For the latest LCP cost estimate, please check the Contract Cost Database at <u>http://sv08web/contractcost/</u>.

We request 680 hours of support cost for this project. The following hours are only estimates and may be revised if the scope of work changes:

WBS 235.10 = 500 hrs (PS&E phase, SI support, SSPs/NSSPs support)
WBS 255.05 = 40 hrs (PS&E phase, final PS&E Quality Review)
WBS 270.66 = 100 hrs (Lead Compliance Plan review, Construction support)
WBS 280.10 = 40 hrs (Construction support for ECR/CEC project closeout)

This Hazardous Waste Assessment is for the scope of work described above. Any changes made to the scope of work will require a Hazardous Waste Re-Assessment. If you have any questions, I can be reached at <u>penny.nakashima@dot.ca.gov</u>, (213) 897-0670 or contact Quyen Tran of my staff at <u>quyen.tran@dot.ca.gov</u>, (213) 897-4718.

# **ATTACHMENT D**

	Dist-County	-Route: (	07-LA-101		
	Post Mile Li	mits: 32.8	3/33.8		
	Type of Wor	k: Wildlif	e Crossing		
	Project ID (E	EA): 0714	000213 (EA	307100)	
Caltrans	Program Ide	entification:			
	Phase: 🔲 I	PID	🛛 PA/ED	D PS&E	
				5	
Regional Water Quality Con	rol Board(s): Lo	os Angeles F	Region 4		
Total Disturbed Soil Area:	8 acres	PCTA:	0.03 acre		
- Alternative Compliance (acr	es): -0.03	ATA	2 (50% Rule	)? Yo	es 🔲 No 🖂
Estimated Const. Start Date	: 11/01/2019	Estimated	Const. Comp	pletion Date:	06/01/2022
Risk Level: RL 1	RL 2 🛛	RL3 🗌	WPCP	Other:	
Is MWELO applicable?	′es 🔲 No 🖂				
Is the Project within a TMDL	watershed?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌		
TMDL Compliance U	nits (acres): 5.	62			
Notification of ADL reuse (if	yes, provide date)	: Yes	Date	:	No 🖂
Person attests to the technic recommendations, conclusion Architect stamp required at	red under the dire cal information co ons, and decisions PS&E only.	ection of the ntained her s are based.	following Lic ein and the o Professiona	censed Perso date upon wh Il Engineer or	n. The Licensed lich <sup>-</sup> Landscape
Theor		Notes and a second s			Indrain
O'Donna Blackstock, Registe	ered Project Engin	eer/Landsc	ape Architec		Date
		cony Landoo			Dute
There is a deviced the set			<i>a</i>		
I have reviewed the stormwa	iter quality design	issues and	find this rep	ort to be com	iplete, current
	4	(int)	5		4/23/2018
(As	heik Moinuddin, E	Project Man	odor		Data
	ient montadant, r	roject mana	ager	A	Date
Jo	se in Villaschar	- For Davi	2 lawence	Juch	~ 4/24/18
D	aviu Lawrence, De	esignated w	aintenance	Representati	ve iDate
	<u></u>				04/26/18
R	on Russak, Desigr	gated Lands	scape Archite	ect Represen	tative "Date "
[Stamp Required at PS&F	-174	ittle 3			04/26/2018
only] for Si	nirley Pak, Districț	/Regional E	esign SW Co	oordinator or	Date
D	SOIGHEE				

# **ATTACHMENT E**

# Memorandum

Serious Drought! Help Save Water!

- To: Lohit Kotha , Design Manager Office of Design District 7, Los Angeles Office
- From: Dan Murdoch, Office Chief Right of Way Appraisals, and Planning & Management District 7, Los Angeles Office

Date: 12/6/2017 EA: 307100 Data Sheet ID NO: ds2818 Project ID # 0714000213

# Subject: Current Estimated Right of Way Costs for Project Report

We have completed an estimate of the Right of Way costs for the above referenced project based on information received from Odonna Blackstock PE and the following assumptions and limiting conditions apply:

- The mapping did not provide sufficient detail to determine the limits of the right of way required.
- The transportation facilities have not been sufficiently designed, so our estimator could not determine the damages to any of the remainder parcels affected by the project.
- Additional right of way requirements are anticipated, but are not defined due to the preliminary nature of the estimate.

**Right of Way Certificate (RWC) lead time** will require a minimum of 24 months after maps to appraisal (MA). Completed Appraisal maps include HMDD, COS, HW Memo, and RE-49. An executed copy of the new freeway agreement if required for the project. When utility relocation is warranted, utility conflict maps will be required. Additionally a minimum of 18 months will be required after receiving the last revision to the appraisal map. Shorter lead times will require either more right of way resources or an increased number of condemnation suits to be filed and present a risk to the RWC project delivery milestone. Due to the passage of Map 21 and the Buy America provision, the Right of Way Certification process will be longer, if Utility Relocation is necessary.

#### Current Schedule: PRSM

PAED (M 200)	MA (M 224)	RWC (M 410)	RTL (M 460)	CCA (M 600)
N/A	N/A	09/28/2021	N/A	07/31/2021

TO	Lohit Kotha	R/W DATA SHEET		
ATTN	ODonna Blackstock		ID NO	ds2818
SENIOR R/W P&M	Sheik Moinuddin	1	Date of Data Sheet	12/6/2017
ROUTE	101			
PM_KM	33	1	Project Description	Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing Project, In Los Angeles County, in Agoura Hills, 0.2 mile west Liberty Canyon Rd
EA	307100			UC
Project ID #	0714000213			
ALT				
his cost actima	to in walled for the stars			

This cost estimate is valid for the above scoping report only. This is an estimate only and not an appraisal. It may be based on worse case scenarios.

The estimate is subject to change and revision.

The mapping did not provide sufficient nor adequate detail to determine the limits of thr Right of Way required and effects on the improvements.

The transportation facilities have not been sufficiently designed for our estimator to determine the damages to any of the remainder parcels affected by the project.

# This cost estimate is pursuant to the following responses supplied by Lohit Kotha to the Data Sheet Request Form.

	YES	NO	Not know	n at this time
Utilities are depicted on plans		x		
Railroads are depicted on plans		x		
There are Material and/or Disposal Sites Required	x			
Caltrans will do the Right of Way work	x			
There will be a Cooperative Agreement	x			
This is a reimbursable project	x			
There is Hazardous Waste potential	x			

	RW COST ES	TIMATE
	CURRENT VALUE	ESCALATED VALUE
R/ w_acq.(incl.contingency G.w-condemadm.s'tl.)Permits	\$999,600	\$1,310,514
Clearance		
RAP (cont rate.)		
Escrow costs (cont rate.)	\$6,779	\$8,887
Utility relocation costs	\$15,313,000	\$20,527,935
Estimate of Reimbursed Appraisal Fee		
Total estimated cost	\$16,319,379	\$21,847,336
Escalation Rate Rw .07		
Escalation Rate Utilities .08		

Cert.date 9/28/21



Data Sheet ID NO: ds2818 ROUTE 101 PM\_KM 33 EA 307100 ALT



2)	Pothole 6"M gas (SCG), Agoura Road	6	3000	¢10.000
<u>3</u> )	30" Water (Las Virgenes Mucipal Water) MWD, Vendell PL (1900 Foot)	1000	5000	\$18,000
4)	Sewer manhole (Las Virgenes Mucinal Water)	1900	2250	\$4,275,000
5)	24"Sewer (Las Virgenes Mucipal Water)	2	30000	\$60,000
6)	Device (Los Virgenes Hacipal Water) (200 Feet)	200	1800	\$360,000
2)	Power from OH to UG "Estimate from SCE \$5,400,000 + \$1,600,000	1	7000000	\$7,000,000
Δ	ATT from OH to UG "Estimated \$1,200,000	1	1200000	\$1,200,000
<u>8</u> )	MCI from OH to UG "Estimated \$1,200,000	1	1200000	\$1,200,000
9)	Airtouch from OH to UG "Estimated \$1,200,000	1	1200000	\$1,200,000
		1	1200000	\$1,200,000

\$15,313,000	Total Current Cost	No	Are utility easements required?
07/31/2021	Const. Completion Date	Yes	Are Utility agreements required?
8%	Utility Escalation Rate		

**RR INFORMATION** 

Are RR affected 0

Describe the RR facilities affected, and ownership: (i.e. RR name, RR spurs, branch lines, at grade crossings?) Will construction work be performed in RR right of way? Y/N If yes, describe:

What types of agreements are anticipated to be required from the RR?

Will Temporary Construction Easement (TCE) rights be required for the project construction? If yes, explain.

<b>Phase 4 costs: RR</b> Flagging related to construction activity. This cost is a phase 4 construction contract cost. Though noted on the RW datasheet, the estimated flagging cost is not a RW cost, and not a part of the RW Capital. This estimate is provided so it can be added to the engineer's estimate for construction – RR flagging estimate is based on the number of days flagging is needed for construction activity.		
Phase 9 costs: Purchase of rights for construction, agreements, Preliminary Engineering Contracts, RR re- arrangement costs. This figure is included in the RW Capital estimate total.	\$0	

Right of Way Estimate prepared by	Victor Lee	DATE 12/6/17
Railroad Estimate prepared by	Presley Burroughs	10/2/17
Utilities Estimate prepared by	Michele Graves	10/10/17

I have personally reviewed this R/W Data Sheet and all supporting information I certify that the probable highest and best use estimated values and assumptions are reasonable and proper subject to the limiting conditions set forth and I find this Data Sheet complete and current.

This Data Sheet is not to be signed by Chief unless accompanied by final scoping report(PR,PSR,PSSR) for review and/or signature.

CHIEF Jok St

04/19/18

Data Sheet ID NO: ds2818 ROUTE 101 PM\_KM 33 EA 307100 ALT

# **ATTACHMENT F**

# TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT PLAN DATA SHEET (Preliminary TMP Elements and Costs)

Co/Rte/PM	LA-101, PM 33.00 E	A _ 30710K / 0714000213	Alternative No.
Project Limit	In Los Angeles County in the Cit	y of Agoura Hills on Route 10	1 at Liberty Canyon Rd.
Project Descript	ion Constructing a vegetated o	verpass across Route 101 to	provide wildlife
	crossing just west of Liber	ty Canyon Road.	
1) Publi	c Information		
	a. Brochures and Mailers		\$
	🔀 b. Press Release		
	C. Paid Advertising		\$50,000.00
	d. Public Information Cente	r/Kiosk	\$
	e. Public Meeting/Speakers	Bureau	
	f. Telephone Hotline		
	🔀 g. Internet		
	h. Others		\$
2) Motor	ists Information Strategies		
	a. Changeable Message Sigr	ns (Fixed)	\$00.00
	b. Changeable Message Sign	ns (Portable)	\$
	c. Ground Mounted Signs		\$
	d. Highway Advisory Radio		\$
	e. Caltrans Highway Informa	ation Network (CHIN)	
	f. Others		\$
3) Incide	nt Management		
	a. Construction Zone Enhand	ced Enforcement	
	Program (COZEEP)		\$90,000.00
	D. Freeway Service Patrol		\$
	C. Ifailic Management Team	1	<b>.</b>
	. Helicopter Surveillance		\$
	(Loon Detector and CCTV)		¢
	f. Others	)	ው
			φ

4) Construction Strategies

a. Lane Closure Chart	
b. Reversible Lanes	
c. Total Freeway Mainline Closure	
d. Extended Weekend Closure	
e. Contra Flow	
f. Truck Traffic Restrictions	\$
g. Reduced Speed Zone	\$
h. Connector and Ramp Closures	
i. Incentive and Disincentive	\$
j. Moveable Barrier	\$
k. Others	\$
5) Demand Management	
a. HOV Lanes/Ramps (New or Convert)	\$
b. Park and Ride Lots	\$
c. Rideshare Incentives	\$
d. Variable Work Hours	
e. Telecommute	
f. Ramp Metering (Temporary Installation)	\$
g. Ramp Metering (Modify Existing)	\$
h. Others	\$
6) Alternative Route Strategies	
a. Add Capacity to Freeway Connector/Ramps	\$
b. Street Improvement (widening, traffic signal etc)	\$
c. Traffic Control Officers	\$
d. Parking Restrictions	
e. Others	\$
7) Other Strategies	
a. Application of New Technology	\$
e. Others	\$
TOTAL ESTIMATED COST OF TMP ELEMENTS =	\$140,000.00

**Project Notes:** 

- 1. The scope of work involves constructing a vegetated overpass across Route 101 at Liberty Canyon Road.
- 2. Public Affairs Compaign cost estimate of \$50,000.00 was provided by Judy Gish, Public Information Officer, Caltrans Office of Public Affairs and Media Relations, on 3/12/2015.
- 3. In the instruction to the RE File, inform RE to notify Public Affairs prior to construction to ensure that a PIO is assigned for the project.
- 4. COZEEP cost estimate of \$90,000.00 was provided by Amjad Obeid, Construction Traffic Advisor-South, on 3/16/2015.
- 5. Existing Fixed Changeable Message Signs may be used to manage traffic as needed during construction as follows:
  - A. CMS # 108 ( NB Rte 101 at De Soto Ave ).

B. CMS # 98 (SB Rte 101 at Ventu Park Rd).

6. Traffic Management Team is required during full freeway closure for falsework erection and removal.

7. It is anticipated work will be performed in accordance with the Lane Requirements Charts provided in the Maintaining Traffic Specifications.

8. Any changes in construction strategy that would result in a different type of closures other than indicated here shall require a revision for the TMP Data Sheet.

PREPARED BY

Raymond Shehata, T Sarah Horn, R.B. Acting S.T.E. Sam Esquenazi District Traffic Mana

DATE DATE 3/17/1 DATE

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED BY

APPROVED BY

# **ATTACHMENT G**

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RISK REGISTER CERTIFICATION (ACCOUNTABILITY CHECKPOINTS) FORM

PPM-0001 (REV 07/2013)

The risk register is to be approved and signed-off by the District Deputies\* listed below for all scalability levels. By signing this form, you are certifying that you have reviewed the risks documented in the register and agree that they have been managed to the extent possible by the PDT.

Project Information Capital Project Major	Maintenance Project (Check One) Total Estimated Cost: \$68,000,000.00
Project ID/District-EA	0714000213/07-30710
Project Description	Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing
Project Manager (PM)	Sheik M. Moinuddin
Project Risk Manager (For Risk Level 3 Projects)	Sharas Bangalore SB
No Risk Register Certification Required Check box if p form with PID, PA&ED, PS&E submittal, and RE Handoff	project is less than \$1 million in total cost and risk register not prepared. Sign below and submit this File (as applicable).
Project Manager Signature	Date:
PID (Recommended for Capital Projects Only ex	cluding Minor Projects)
Project Manager	Date:
Deputy District Director, Planning	Date:
Deputy District Director*, Design**	Date:
Deputy District Director, Project Management	Date:
PA&ED (Required for Capital Projects Only)	
Project Manager	Date: 04/24/2016
Deputy District Director*, Environmental	Date: 1/27/10
Deputy District Director*, Design**	Date:Date:
Deputy District Director, Project Management	Date: 4/24/8
Prior to PS&E (Required for Capital Projects and	Major Maintenance Projects)
Project Manager	Date:
Deputy District Director*, Design**	Date:
Deputy District Director*, Construction	Date:
Deputy District Director*, Right of Way	Date:
Deputy District Director*, Environmental	Date:
Deputy District Director, Project Management**	Date:
RE File Hand-off (Recommended for Capital Proj	ects and Major Maintenance Projects)
Project Manager	Date:
	Date:
Deputy District Director* Construction	
Deputy District Director, Constituction	
	Date:
*or the respective Project Delivery Division Chief	f signatures in the North Region or Central Region

\*\*or Deputy District Director, Maintenance signature for HM Projects designed by the District Maintenance Division

ADA Notice For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document is available in alternate formats. For information call (916) 654-6410 or TDD (916) 654-3880 or write to Records and Forms Management, 1120 N Street, MS-89, Sacramento, CA 95814.

	T	1	1	1	1															
	LEVE	L 3 - RIS	KRE	GISTE	R	Project Name:	Liberty Canyon Wildl	ife Crossing		Project Manager	She	ik M. Moinud	ldin				Risk Manager	Sharas Bangalore	District-EA	07-30710
							Risk Assessment					Total Capital Cost	\$68,000,000							
						Risk Identificati	on		Probability	Cost In	npact Befor	e Mitigatio	n (70th)	\$3,	687,201			Risk Response		
Risk No.	. Status	Risk ID.	Task	Туре	Category	Title	Risk Statement	Current status/Assumptions	Probability of Occurrence	Low	Most likely	High	Frequency	Impact	Risk Before	Rationale	Strategy	Response Actions	Risk Owner	Updated
1	Active	180.PPM	180	Threat	PPM	Funding	Funding source for su pport cost for fu ture phases and construction capital are not identified or committed .	Funding	50%				1	\$0	\$0	Project sponsor to get the consensus of all stake holders during the PA&ED phase to commit for future funding of the project.	Avoid	Develop a comprehensive funding plan and cooperative agreement.	Project sponsors	4/23/2018
2	Active	180.Env	180	Threat	Env	Foot Print of the project	There is a creek ab out 50 feet sou th of Agoura Road fill section of the project. Any change in the foot print which touches the creek may threat the proposed project.	Foot print of the wildlife crossing stays the same during the PS&E p hase.	20%		-		0	\$0	\$0	PA&ED has been developed with consensus from all stake holders not to touch the creek and PS&E phase has to make sure to stay within the existing foot print.	Avoid	Make sure not to change the foot print of the project to avoid touching the creek in PS&E phase.	Design	4/23/2018
3	Active	180.Mtn	180	Threat	Mtn	Maintenance Agreement	City of Agou ra Hills has declined to maintain the tunnel portion or any other element of this project with in their R/W. Also, the conceptual plans show that there is 4 feet of soil on top of the 101 bridge which is in Caltrans R/W. There is no clear in dication as to which agency will maintain various elements of the project.	Maintenance agreement is required	60%			n e	1	\$0	\$0	Get the stake holders to come to an agreement as to which agency will maintain which elements of the project within their R/W during the PS&E phase.	Mitigate	Develop a comprehensive Maintenance agreement plan.	Project Manager	4/23/2018
4	Active	180.Dgn	180	Threat	Dgn	Permits	Permits from several agen cies, namely, California Department of Fish and Wildlife; Calitans; California State Water Resources Control Board; California Public Utilities Commission; City of Agou ra Hills; FHWA will be required.	Permits and approvals are required.	50%				1	\$0	\$0	Involve the permit agencies early in the PS&E phase.	Mitigate	Involve the Permitting agencies early enough to avoid delays to permit issuing process and hence avoid delay to the project.	Project Manager	4/23/2018
5	Active	180.Env	180	Threat	Env	Contaminated water	As a result of probable shallow ground water at 10-20 feet b elow ground surface adjacent to the project area, d uring construction phase, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) compliance may require construction dewatering. This would impact the work, resulting additional time and cost.	Groundwater was n ot analyzed for water q uality	30%	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$200,000	0	\$150,000	\$0	Depth of foundation for bridges, sound walls and retaining walls, may encounter groundwater.	Mitigate	Site investigation to determine water quality and if treating is required to be done during PS&E phase.	Environmental	4/23/2018
6	Active	180.Row	180	Threat	Row	Utility Agreement and relocation	Agreement to relocate u tilities is required from several u tility companies, namely, but not limited to, SCE, SC Gas co., Cell phone towers b y AT&T and Verizon., DWP to name a few.	Utility agreements is required	50%	\$500,000	\$4,000,000	\$5,000,000	1	\$3,583,333	\$3,583,333	Involve the utility companies early in the PS&E phase to get the agreements and start the relocation process.	Mitigate	It would require 24-36 months to execute all the utility agreements and relocate the utilities.	R/W	4/23/2018
7	Active	180.Row	180	Threat	Row	R/W issues	Caltrans will not acquire the R/W but Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA) will acquire the additional R/W needed from private developer.	MRCA will acq uire the necessary R/W from p rivate developer.	30%	\$100,000	\$300,000	\$500,000	0	\$300,000	\$0	Minimize the impact of R/W acquisition on construction.	Mitigate	Work with MRCA early enough during the Design phase to start R/W acquisition process from private developer.	MRCA	4/23/2018
8	Active	180.Env	180	Threat	Env	Hazardous waste issues	CIDH piles are proposed for bridge support and hence there is need for new Hazardous Waste Assessmen t and Geotechnical investigation to see if there is any impact of water tab le during CIDH pile drilling.	There are no hazardous waste issues during the PA&ED phase.	30%	\$250,000	\$500,000	\$750,000	0	\$500,000	\$0	Minimize the impact of Hazardous waste and water table issues during construction by testing during PS&E phase.	Mitigate	Hazardous waste testing to be done early during Design phase. Geotechnical investigation to be done early during Design phase	Hazardous waste unit	4/23/2018

# **ATTACHMENT H**

#### PROJECT

#### PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

EA: 07-307100 PID: 0714000213

EA: 07-307100

PID: 0714000213

District-County-Route: 07-LA-101 PM: 32.8 - 33.8

Type of Estimate : Preliminary Cost Estimate

Program Code :

Project Limits : LA-101-PM 32.8/33.8

Project Description: Wildlife Crossing

Scope : Construction of a bridge across US-101, a 54' tunnel(bridge) over Agoura Road, fill and grade inbetween US-101 and Agoura Road

Alternative: 2 - Design Option 2

#### SUMMARY OF PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

	Cu	rrent Year Cost	E	scalated Cost
TOTAL ROADWAY COST	\$	11,341,200	\$	12,476,467
TOTAL STRUCTURES COST	\$	30,356,450	\$	33,395,166
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST	\$	41,697,650	\$	45,871,633
TOTAL RIGHT OF WAY COST	\$	16,319,379	\$	21,847,336
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY COSTS	\$	58,018,000	\$	67,719,000
PR/ED SUPPORT	\$	1,176,000	\$	1,176,000
PS&E SUPPORT	\$	7,500,000	\$	7,500,000
<b>RIGHT OF WAY SUPPORT</b>	\$	1,200,000	\$	1,200,000
CONSTRUCTION SUPPORT	\$	10,500,000	\$	10,500,000
TOTAL SUPPORT COST	\$	20,376,000	\$	20,376,000
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$	78,400,000	\$	88,100,000

If Project has been programmed enter Programmed Amount

		Month	/ <u>Year</u>		
	Date of Estimate (Month/Year)	11	/ 2017		
	Estimated Construction Start (Month/Year)	4	/ 2021		
		Number of Working Days	= 701		
Estim	ated Mid-Point of Construction (Month/Year)	5	/ 2020		
	Estimated Construction End (Month/Year)	12	/ 2025		
	Numbe	r of Plant Establishment Days	261		
	Estimated Project Schedule				
	PID Approval	May-15			
	PA/ED Approval	April-18			
	PS&E	April-20			
	RTL	August-20			
	Begin Construction	April-21			
Reviewed by District O.E. or Cost Estimate Certifier	n/a	xx/xx/xxxx		(xxx) xxx-xxxx	
	Office Engineer / Cost Estimate Certifier	Date		Phone	
Approved by Project Manager	Sheik M. Moinuddin	4/24/2018		(213) 897-8092	
	Project Manager	Date		Phone	

# I. ROADWAY ITEMS SUMMARY

	Section		Cost	
1	Earthwork	\$	738,800	
2	Pavement Structural Section	\$	58,600	
3	Drainage	\$	740,000	
4	Specialty Items	\$	62,000	
5	Environmental	\$	1,763,700	
6	Traffic Items	\$	690,600	
7	Detours	\$	-	
8	Minor Items	\$	405,400	
9	Roadway Mobilization	\$	446,000	
10	Supplemental Work	\$	326,400	
11	State Furnished	\$	1,401,800.00	
12	Time-Related Overhead	\$	3,228,600.00	
13	Roadway Contingency	\$	1,479,300.00	
	TOTAL ROADWAY ITEN	NS \$	11,341,200	
Estimate Prepared By :	Blackstock O'Donna, P.E	Apr-18	(213) 897-7515	
	Name and Title	Date	Phone	
Estimate Reviewed By :	Lohit Kotha, Design Manage	r Apr-18	(213) 897-2752	
	Name and Title	Date	Phone	

By signing this estimate you are attesting that you have discussed your project with all functional units and have incorporated all their comments or have discussed with them why they will not be incorporated.

#### SECTION 1: EARTHWORK

Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)		Cost
190101	Roadway Excavation	CY		х		=	\$ -
19010X	Roadway Excavation (Type X) ADL	CY		х		=	\$ -
194001	Ditch Excavation	CY		х		=	\$ -
19801X	Imported Borrow	CY/TON		х		=	\$ -
192037	Structure Excavation (Retaining Wall)	CY		х		=	\$ -
193013	Structure Backfill (Retaining Wall)	CY		х		=	\$ -
193031	Pervious Backfill Material (Retaining Wall)	CY		х		=	\$ -
16010X	Clearing & Grubbing	LS/ACRE	1	х	13,200.00	=	\$ 13,200
170101	Develop Water Supply	LS	1	х	10,000.00	=	\$ 10,000
19801X	Imported Borrow	CY	89,443	х	8.00	=	\$ 715,544
210130	Duff	ACRE		х		=	\$ -
XXXXXX	Some Item	Unit					

#### TOTAL EARTHWORK SECTION ITEMS \$ 738,800

#### SECTION 2: PAVEMENT STRUCTURAL SECTION

Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)			Cost	
401050	Jointed Plain Concrete Pavement	CY		х		=	\$	-	
400050	Continuously Reinforced Concrete Pavement	CY		х		=	\$	-	
404092	Seal Pavement Joint	LF		х		=	\$	-	
404093	Seal Isolation Joint	LF		х		=	\$	-	
413117	Seal Concrete Pavement Joint (Silicone)	LF		х		=	\$	-	
413118	Seal Pavement Joint (Asphalt Rubber)	LF		х		=	\$	-	
280010	Rapid Strength Concrete Base	CY		х		=	\$	-	
410095	Dowel Bar (Drill and Bond)	EA		х		=	\$	-	
390132	Hot Mix Asphalt (Type A)	TON	365	х	155.00	=	\$	56,575	
390137	Rubberized Hot Mix Asphalt (Gap Graded)	TON		х		=	\$	-	
39300X	Geosynthetic Pavement Interlayer (Type X)	SQYD		х		=	\$	-	
26020X	Class 2 Aggregate Base	TON/CY		х		=	\$	-	
290201	Asphalt Treated Permeable Base	CY		х		=	\$	-	
250401	Class 4 Aggregate Subbase	CY		х		=	\$	-	
374002	Asphaltic Emulsion (Fog Seal Coat)	TON		х		=	\$	-	
397005	Tack Coat	TON		х		=	\$	-	
377501	Slurry Seal	TON		х		=	\$	-	
3750XX	Screenings (Type XX)	TON		х		=	\$	-	
374492	Asphaltic Emulsion (Polymer Modified)	TON		х		=	\$	-	
370001	Sand Cover (Seal)	TON		х		=	\$	-	
731530	Minor Concrete (Textured Paving)	CY		х		=	\$	-	
731502	Minor Concrete (Miscellaneous Construction)	CY		х		=	\$	-	
39407X	Place Hot Mix Asphalt Dike (Type D)	LF	200	х	7.00	=	\$	1,400	
150771	Remove Asphalt Concrete Dike	LF	200	х	3.00	=	\$	600	
420201	Grind Existing Concrete Pavement	SQYD		х		=	\$	-	
150860	Remove Base and Surfacing	CY		х		=	\$	-	
390095	Replace Asphalt Concrete Surfacing	CY		х		=	\$	-	
15312X	Remove Concrete	LF/CY/LS		х		=	\$	-	
394090	Place Hot Mix Asphalt (Miscellaneous Area)	SQYD		х		=	\$	-	
153103	Cold Plane Asphalt Concrete Pavement	SQYD		х		=	\$	-	
39405X	Shoulder Rumble Strip (HMA, X-In Indentations)	STA		х		=	\$	-	
413113	Repair Spalled Joints, Polyester Grout	SQYD		х		=	\$	-	
420102	Groove Existing Concrete Pavement	SQYD		х		=	\$	-	
390136	Minor Hot Mix Asphalt	TON		х		=	\$	-	
394095	Roadside Paving (Miscellaneous Areas)	SQYD		х		=	\$	-	
XXXXXX	Some Item	Unit		х		=	\$	-	
			TOTAL PA	/EM	ENT STRUCTU	RAL	SEC	TION ITEMS \$	58,600

#### SECTION 3: DRAINAGE

Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)		C	Cost
15080X	Remove Culvert	EA/LF		х		=	\$	-
150820	Modify Inlet	EA		х		=	\$	-
155232	Sand Backfill	CY		х		=	\$	-
15020X	Abandon Culvert	EA/LF		х		=	\$	-
152430	Adjust Inlet	LF		х		=	\$	-
155003	Cap Inlet	EA		х		=	\$	-
510501	Minor Concrete	CY		х		=	\$	-
510502	Minor Concrete (Minor Structure)	CY		х		=	\$	-
5105XX	Minor Concrete (Type XX)	CY		х		=	\$	-
620XXX	XX" Alternative Pipe Culvert (Type X)	LF		х		=	\$	-
6411XX	XX" Plastic Pipe	LF		х		=	\$	-
65XXXX	XX" Reinforced Concrete Pipe (Type X)	LF		х		=	\$	-
6650XX	XX" Corrugated Steel Pipe (0.XXX" Thick)	LF		х		=	\$	-
68XXXX	XX" Plastic Pipe (Edge Drain)	LF		х		=	\$	-
69011X	XX" Corrugated Steel Pipe Downdrain (0.XXX" Th	LF		х		=	\$	-
70321X	XX" Corrugated Steel Pipe Inlet (0.XXX" Thick)	LF		х		=	\$	-
70XXXX	XX" Corrugated Steel Pipe Riser (0.XXX" Thick)	LF		х		=	\$	-
7050XX	XX" Steel Flared End Section	EA		х		=	\$	-
703233	Grated Line Drain	LF		х		=	\$	-
72XXXX	Rock Slope Protection (Type and Method)	CY/TON		х		=	\$	-
72901X	Rock Slope Protection Fabric (Class X)	SQYD		х		=	\$	-
721420	Concrete (Ditch Lining)	CY		х		=	\$	-
721430	Concrete (Channel Lining)	CY		х		=	\$	-
750001	Miscellaneous Iron and Steel	LB		х		=	\$	-
XXXXXX	Additional Drainage	LS	1	х	740,000.00	=	\$	740,000

# TOTAL DRAINAGE ITEMS \$

740,000

#### SECTION 4: SPECIALTY ITEMS

Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)		Cost
080050	Progress Schedule (Critical Path Method)	LS	1	х	5,000.00	=	\$ 5,000
582001	Sound Wall (Masonry Block)	SQFT		х		=	\$ -
510530	Minor Concrete (Wall)	CY		х		=	\$ -
15325X	Remove Sound Wall	LF/LS		х		=	\$ -
070030	Lead Compliance Plan	LS	1	х	5,000.00	=	\$ 5,000
141120	Treated Wood Waste	LB	2,280	х	1.40	=	\$ 3,192
153221	Remove Concrete Barrier	LF	450	х	75.00	=	\$ 33,750
150662	Remove Metal Beam Guard Railing	LF	200	х	20.00	=	\$ 4,000
150668	Remove Flared End Section	EA		х		=	\$ -
8000XX	Chain Link Fence (Type XX)	LF		х		=	\$ -
80XXXX	XX" Chain Link Gate (Type CL-6)	EA		х		=	\$ -
832001	Midwest Guardrail System	LF	200	х	55.00	=	\$ 11,000
839301	Single Thrie Beam Barrier	LF		х		=	\$ -
839310	Double Thrie Beam Barrier	LF		х		=	\$ -
839521	Cable Railing	LF		х		=	\$ -
8395XX	Terminal System (Type CAT)	EA		х		=	\$ -
839585	Alternative Flared Terminal System	EA		х		=	\$ -
839584	Alternative In-line Terminal System	EA		х		=	\$ -
4906XX	CIDH Concrete Piling (Insert Diameter)	LF		х		=	\$ -
839XXX	Crash Cushion (Sand Filled)	EA		х		=	\$ -
83XXXX	Concrete Barrier (Insert Type)	LF		х		=	\$ -
520103	Bar Reinforced Steel (Retaining Wall)	LB		х		=	\$ -
510060	Structural Concrete, Retaining Wall	CY		х		=	\$ -
513553	Retaining Wall (Masonry Wall)	SQFT		х		=	\$ -
511035	Architectural Treatment	SQFT		х		=	\$ -
598001	Anti-Graffiti Coating	SQFT		х		=	\$ -
203070	Rock Stain	SQFT		х		=	\$ -
5136XX	Reinforced Concrete Crib Wall (Type X)	SQFT		х		=	\$ -
83954X	Transition Railing (Type X)	EA		х		=	\$ -
597601	Prepare and Stain Concrete	SQFT		х		=	\$ -
839561	Rail Tensioning Assembly	EA		Х		=	\$ -
83958X	End Anchor Assembly (Type X)	EA		х		=	\$ -
XXXXXX	Some Item	Unit		х		=	\$ -

TOTAL SPECIALTY ITEMS \$

62,000

#### SECTION 5: ENVIRONMENTAL

#### **5A - ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION**

Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)			Cost		
	Biological Mitigation	LS	1	х	250,000.00	=	\$	250,000		
130670	Temporary Reinforced Silt Fence	LF		х		=	\$	-		
141000	Temporary Fence (Type ESA)	LF		х		=	\$	-		
					Subtota	l Env	ironn	nental Mitigation	\$	250,000
5B - LANI	DSCAPE AND IRRIGATION									
Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)			Cost		
20XXXX	Highway Planting	LS	1	х	283,500.00	=	\$	283,500		
20XXXX	Irrigation System	LS	1	х	404,250.00	=	\$	404,250		
204099	Plant Establishment Work	LS	1	х	320,250.00	=	\$	320,250		
204101	Extend Plant Establishment Work	LS		х	,	=	\$	-		
20XXXX	Follow-up Landscape Project	LS		х		=	\$	-		
150685	Remove Irrigation Facility	IS		x		=	ŝ	-		
20XXXX	Maintain Existing (Irrigation or Planted Areas)	15		Ŷ		_	ŝ	_		
206400	Check and Test Existing Irrigation Eacilities	15		Ŷ		_	ŝ	_		
21011X	Imported Topsoil (X)			v		_	φ ¢	_		
200777	Rock Blanket, Book Muleh, DC, Gravel Muleh			Ŷ		_	φ ¢			
2000100	Wood Cormination			~		=	φ Φ	-		
200122	Weter Meter			X		=	φ	-		
208304	Water Weter	EA		X		=	ф Ф	-		
208788	Extend A Conduit (Use for Irrigation X-overs)			х		=	<b>ф</b>	-		
20890X		LF		Х	Cubtoto	=	\$ daaa	-	¢	1 000 000
5C - FRO	SION CONTROL				Subiola	Lan	usca	be and imgalion	Φ	1,008,000
Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)			Cost		
210010	Move In/Move Out (Erosion Control)	EA	-	х		=	\$	-		
210350	Fiber Rolls	LF		х		=	ŝ	_		
210360	Compost Sock	LF		х		=	ŝ	_		
2102XX	Rolled Erosion Control Product (X)	SQFT		х		=	¢ ¢	_		
21025X	Bonded Fiber Matrix	;QFT/ACRE		х		=	φ ¢	_		
210300	Hydromulch	SQFT		х		=	φ			
210420	Straw	SQFT		x		=	φ ¢			
210430	Hydroseed	SOFT	\$ 246 230	x	0.2	_	φ Φ	40.046		
210600	Compost	SOFT	φ <i>L</i> 10,200	Ŷ	0.2	_	φ	49,240		
210630	Incorporate Materials	SOFT		Ŷ		_	φ Φ	-		
210000		OQ11		~		- Cul	Φ statal	- Erocion Control	¢	10 246
5D - NPDI	FS					Jul	ποται	LIUSION CONTO	Ψ	43,240
Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)			Cost		
130300	Prenare SWPPP	LS	1	¥	13 000 00	_	\$	13 000		
130200	Prepare WPCP		•	v	10,000.00	_	φ ¢	10,000		
130100	lob Site Management		1	Ŷ	26,000,00	_	φ	26,000		
120220	Storm Water Appual Popert		2	Ŷ	20,000.00	_	φ ¢	20,000		
100000	Dein Front Action Dien (DEAD)		3	~	2,000.00	=	ዋ ድ	0,000		
100000	Sterm Water Complian and Analysis Day		3	X	1 000.00	=	φ	35,000		
100520	Storm Water Sampling and Analysis Day		33	X	1,000.00	=	φ	33,640		
100550				X		=	φ	-		
100500	Maria la Maria Orit (Tarananan English Cantral)			X		=	φ	-		
130505		EA	07.000	X	5.00	=	ф Ф	-		
130640	Temporary Fiber Roll	LF	27,600	х	5.00	=	<b>ф</b>	138,000		
130900	Temporary Concrete Washout	LS	1	х	10,000.00	=	\$	10,000		
130/10	Temporary Construction Entrance	EA	4	х	3,000.00	=	\$	12,000		
130570	Temporary Cover	SQYD	21,900	х	7.00	=	\$	153,300		
130620	Temporary Drainage Inlet Protection	EA	10	х	250.00	=	\$	2,500		
130730	Street Sweeping	LS	1	х	27,000.00	=	\$	27,000		
							Sι	ibtotal NPDES	\$	456,440
									•	1 200 200
Supplar	optol Work for NPDES				TO	AL	ENVI	KONMENTAL	\$	1,763,700
OGGEOF	Water Pollution Control Maintonance Charing*	10	1	v	6 000 00		¢	6 000		
0000990	Additional Water Dellution Control Maintenance Sharing		1	X	0,000.00	=	Φ	6,000		
000596	Additional Water Pollution Control		1	X	0,000.00	=	Φ	6,000		
	Storm water Sampling and Analysis	LS	I	X	0,000.00	=	¢	6,000		
~~~~	Some liem	LS		х	0.111110	=	Φ,	-	•	
					Subtotal Supp	leme	ental	Work for NDPS	\$	18,000

\*Applies to all SWPPPs and those WPCPs with sediment control or soil stabilization BMPs.

\*\*Applies to both SWPPPs and WPCP projects.

\*\*\* Applies only to project with SWPPPs.

#### SECTION 6: TRAFFIC ITEMS

6A - Traff	ic Electrical								
Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)			Cost	
860460	Lighting and Sign Illumination	LS		х		=	\$	-	
860201	Signal and Lighting	LS		х		=	\$	-	
860990	Closed Circuit Television System	LS		х		=	\$	-	
86110X	Ramp Metering System (Location X)	LS		х		=	\$	-	
86070X	Interconnection Conduit and Cable	LF/LS		х		=	\$	-	
5602XX	Furnish Sign Structure (Type X)	LB		х		=	\$	-	
5602XX	Install Sign Structure (Type X)	LB		х		=	\$	-	
498040	XX" CIDHC Pile (Sign Foundation)	LF		х		=	\$	-	
86080X	Inductive Loop Detectors	EA/LS		х		=	\$	-	
8609XX	Traffic Monitoring Station (Type X)	LS		х		=	\$	-	
15075X	Remove Sign Structure	EA/LS		х		=	\$	-	
151581	Reconstruct Sign Structure	EA		х		=	\$	-	
152641	Modify Sign Structure	EA		х		=	\$	-	
860090	Maintain Existing Traffic Management System Elei	LS	1	х	5,000.00	=	\$	5,000	
86XXXX	Fiber Optic Conduit System	LS		х		=	\$	-	
XXXXX	Some Item	LS		х		=	\$	-	
					Sı	ıbtot	al Tra	ffic Electrical	\$ 5,000
6B - Traff	ic Signing and Striping								

Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)			Cost	
566011	Roadside Sign - One Post	EA	4	х	300.00	=	\$	1,200	
566012	Roadside Sign - Two Post	EA		х		=	\$	-	
5602XX	Furnish Sign	SQFT		х		=	\$	-	
568016	Install Sign Panel on Existing Frame	SQFT		х		=	\$	-	
150711	Remove Painted Traffic Stripe	LF	2,850	х	0.90	=	\$	2,565	
141101	Remove Yellow Painted Traffic Stripe (Hazardous Waste)	LF	2,100	х	2.25	=	\$	4,725	
810120	Remove Pavement Marker	EA	620	х	1.32	=	\$	818	
150742	Remove Roadside Sign	EA		х		=	\$	-	
152320	Reset Roadside Sign	EA		х		=	\$	-	
152390	Relocate Roadside Sign	EA		х		=	\$	-	
82010X	Delineator (Class X)	EA		х		=	\$	-	
840502	Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (Enhanced Wet Night Visibility)	LF	6,300	х	2.10	=	\$	13,230	
846012	Thermoplastic Crosswalk & Pavement Marking (Enhanced Wet Night Vi	SQFT		х		=	\$	-	
120090	Construction Area Signs	LS	1	х	8,000.00	=	\$	8,000	
810230	Pavement Marker (Retroreflective)	EA	140	х	5.50	=	\$	770	
					Subtotal Traf	fic S	igning	and Striping	\$ 31,308
6C - Traff	ic Management Plan						- •		
Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)			Cost	

Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit	Price (\$)		Cost
128651	Portable Changeable Message Signs	EA	4	Х	\$	3,750	=	\$ 15,000

#### 6C - Stage Construction and Traffic Handling

Item code		Unit	Quantity		Unit Price (\$)		Cost	
846030	Remove Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe	LF	2,850	х	1.00	=	\$ 2,850	
120165	Channelizer (surface mounted)	EA	56	х	46.00	=	\$ 2,576	
120120	Type III Barricade	EA		х		=	\$ -	
129100	Temporary Crash Cushion Module	EA	56	х	319.00	=	\$ 17,864	
120100	Traffic Control System	LS	1	х	548,100.00	=	\$ 548,100	
120159	Temporary Traffic Stripe (Paint)	LF	6,300	х	0.70	=	\$ 4,410	
129000	Temporary Railing (Type K)	LF	1,780	х	33.00	=	\$ 58,740	
120300	Temporary Pavement Marker	EA	140	х	7.75	=	\$ 1,085	
82010X	Delineator (Class X)	EA		х		=	\$ -	
141103	Remove Yellow Thermoplastic Traffic Stripe (Haz. Waste)	LF	2,100	х	1.70	=	\$ 3,570	

Subtotal Stage Construction and Traffic Handling\$639,195

Subtotal Traffic Management Plan

TOTAL TRAFFIC ITEMS \$ 690,600

\$

15,000

SECTION 7: DETOURS Includes constructing, maintaining, and removal

190101 19801X 390132 26020X 250401 130620 129000 128601 120149 80010X XXXXXX	Roadway Excavation Imported Borrow Hot Mix Asphalt (Type A) Class 2 Aggregate Base Class 4 Aggregate Subbase Temporary Drainage Inlet Protection Temporary Railing (Type K) Temporary Signal System Temporary Pavement Marking (Paint) Temporary Fence (Type X) Some Item	Unit CY CY/TON TON/CY EA LF LS SQFT LF Unit		Quantity	× × × × × × × × × ×	Unit Price (\$)		\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	Cost - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		
						TOTAI	DE	TOU	RS	\$	-
SECTIO	N 8: MINOR ITEMS				5	SUBTOTAL SE	CTI	ONS	1 through 7	\$	4,053,700
8A - Amer 8B - Bike 8C - Other	ricans with Disabilities Act Items ADA Items Path Items Bike Path Items r Minor Items Other Minor Items	_				1.0% 1.0% 8.0%		\$ \$ \$	40,537 40,537 324,296		
	Total of Section 1-	7	\$	4 053 700	x	10.0%		\$	405 370		
			Ψ	1,000,700	~			¥ ті ас		<b>^</b>	405 400
SECTIO	NS 9: MOBILIZATION	_						۴	445 910		
9999990	Total Section 1-	8	\$	4,459,100	x	10%	=	Ф	445,910		
999990 SECTIO	Total Section 1- N 10: SUPPLEMENTAL WORK	8	\$	4,459,100	x	10%	= TO1	» ГАL N	IOBILIZATION	\$	446,000
SECTIO	Total Section 1-	8  Unit	\$	4,459,100 <i>Quantity</i>	x	10%	= TO1	⊅ ГАL М	IOBILIZATION Cost	\$	446,000
<b>SECTIO</b> <b>Item code</b> 066670 066094 066070 066919 066921 066015 066610 066204	N 10: SUPPLEMENTAL WORK         Payment Adjustments For Price Index         Fluctuations         Value Analysis         Maintain Traffic         Dispute Resolution Board         Dispute Resolution Advisor         Federal Trainee Program         Partnering         Remove Rock and Debris	8 Unit LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	\$	4,459,100 <b>Quantity</b> 1 1 1	x x x x x x x x x x x x	10% <b>Unit Price (\$)</b> 10,000.00 30,000.00 90,000.00	= TOT = = = = = =	» Fal n \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 10,000 - 30,000 - 90,000 -	\$	446,000
SECTIO Item code 066670 066094 066070 066919 066921 066015 066610 066204 066204 066222 XXXXX	N 10: SUPPLEMENTAL WORK Payment Adjustments For Price Index Fluctuations Value Analysis Maintain Traffic Dispute Resolution Board Dispute Resolution Advisor Federal Trainee Program Partnering Remove Rock and Debris Locate Existing Crossover Some Item	8 Unit LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS Unit	\$	4,459,100 <i>Quantity</i> 1 1 1	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	10% <b>Unit Price (\$)</b> 10,000.00 30,000.00 90,000.00	= TO1 = = = = = = = = = =	\$ FAL N \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	<b>IOBILIZATION</b> <b>Cost</b> 10,000 - 30,000 - 90,000 - -	\$	446,000
SECTIO Item code 066670 066094 066070 066919 066921 066015 066610 066204 066222 XXXXXX	N 10: SUPPLEMENTAL WORK N 10: SUPPLEMENTAL WORK Payment Adjustments For Price Index Fluctuations Value Analysis Maintain Traffic Dispute Resolution Board Dispute Resolution Advisor Federal Trainee Program Partnering Remove Rock and Debris Locate Existing Crossover Some Item	B Unit LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS Unit	\$	4,459,100 <i>Quantity</i> 1 1 1	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	10% Unit Price (\$) 10,000.00 30,000.00 90,000.00	= TO1 = = = = = = = = = =	\$ FAL N \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	<b>IOBILIZATION</b> <b>Cost</b> 10,000 - 30,000 - 90,000 - 18,000	\$	446,000
SECTIO Item code 066670 066094 066070 066919 066921 066015 066610 066204 066222 XXXXXX	N 10: SUPPLEMENTAL WORK  Payment Adjustments For Price Index Fluctuations Value Analysis Maintain Traffic Dispute Resolution Board Dispute Resolution Advisor Federal Trainee Program Partnering Remove Rock and Debris Locate Existing Crossover Some Item  Cost of NM Total Section 1-	B Unit LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	\$ bleme \$	4,459,100 <b>Quantity</b> 1 1 1 2 2011 1 2011 2011 2011 2011 201	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	10% Unit Price (\$) 10,000.00 30,000.00 90,000.00 d in Section 5D 4%	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	\$ FALN \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ \$ \$	<b>IOBILIZATION</b> <b>Cost</b> - 10,000 - 30,000 - 90,000 - - 18,000 178,364	\$	446,000

#### SECTION 11: STATE FURNISHED MATERIALS AND EXPENSES

Item code		Unit	Q	uantity		Unit Price (\$)			Cost	
066105	Resident Engineers Office	LS		1	х	328,400.00	=		\$328,400	
066063	Traffic Management Plan - Public Information	LS		1	х	15,000.00	=		\$15,000	
066901	Water Expenses	LS			х		=		\$0	
8609XX	Traffic Monitoring Station (X)	LS			х		=		\$0	
066841	Traffic Controller Assembly	LS			х		=		\$0	
066840	Traffic Signal Controller Assembly	LS			х		=		\$0	
066062	COZEEP Contract	LS		1	х	880,000.00	=		\$880,000	
066838	Reflective Numbers and Edge Sealer	LS			х		=		\$0	
066065	Tow Truck Service Patrol	LS			х		=		\$0	
066916	Annual Construction General Permit Fee	LS			х		=		\$0	
XXXXXX	Some Item	Unit			х		=		\$0	
	Total Section 1-8		\$	4,459,100		4%	=	\$	178,364	
						тот		TATE	FURNISHED	\$1 401 800

#### SECTION 12: TIME-RELATED OVERHEAD

Total of Roadway and Structures Contract Items excluding Mobilization \$32,285,846 (used to calculate TRO) Total Construction Cost (excluding TRO and Contingency) \$36,989,750 (used to check if project is greater than \$5 million excluding contingency) Estiamted Time-Releated Overhead (TRO) Percentage (0% to 10%) = 10% Unit Price (\$) Unit Quantity Cost Item code 070018 Time-Related Overhead WD 701 Х \$4,606 \$3,228,600 =

TOTAL TIME-RELATED OVERHEAD \$3,228,600

Note: If the building portion of the project is greater than 50% of the total project cost, then TRO is not included.

#### SECTION 13: ROADWAY CONTINGENCY

Recommended Contingency: (Pre-PSR 30%-50%, PSR 25%, Draft PR 20%, PR 15%, after PR approval 10%, Final PS&E 5%)

Total Section 1-12 \$ 9,861,900 x **15**% = \$1,479,285

TOTAL CONTINGENCY \$1,479,300

# **II. STRUCTURE ITEMS**

	Bridge 1	Bridge 2	
DATE OF ESTIMATE Bridge Name Bridge Number Structure Type Width (Feet) [out to out]	10/02/17 Route 101 Wildlife OC 57-XXX CIP/PS Box Girder 170 LF	10/02/17 Agoura Rd Wildlife OC 57-XXX RC Box Girder 217 LF	00/00/00 xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx 57-XXX xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx 0 LF
Total Bridge Length (Feet) Total Area (Square Feet) Structure Depth (Feet) Footing Type (pile or spread) Cost Per Square Foot	210 LF 35700 SQFT 6 LF xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx \$494	62 LF 13454 SQFT 4 LF xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	0 LF 0 SQFT 0 LF xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
COST OF EACH	\$17,627,589	\$7,669,453	\$0

COST OF EACH	\$0	\$0	\$0
		I I	
Cost Per Square Foot	\$100	\$0	\$0
Footing Type (pile or spread)	*****	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	*****
Structure Depth (Feet)	0 LF	0 LF	0 LF
Total Area (Square Feet)	0 SQFT	0 SQFT	0 SQFT
Total Length (Feet)	0 LF	0 LF	0 LF
Width (Feet) [out to out]	0 LF	0 LF	0 LF
Structure Type	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Bridge Number	57-XXX	57-XXX	57-XXX
Name	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
DATE OF ESTIMATE	00/00/00	00/00/00	00/00/00

	TOTAL COST OF BRIDGES		\$25,297,042			
	TOTAL COST OF	BUILDINGS	\$0			
Structures Mc	bilization Percentage	10%	\$2,529,704			
Recommended Contingency: (Pre-PSR 30%-50%, PSR 25%, Draft PR 20%, PR 15%, after PR approval 10%, Final PS&E 5%)						
Structures Cor	ntingency Percentage	10%	\$2,529,704			
TOTAL COST O	F STRUCTURES		\$30,356,450			

Estimate Prepared By: U.Smpardos / Paul Mak

HQ ----- Division of Structures

10/5/2017

Date

# **III. RIGHT OF WAY**

Fill in all of the available information from the Right of Way data sheet.

A)	A1) A2)	Acquisition, including Excess Land Purchases, Damages & Goodwill, Fees SB-1210	\$ \$	999,600 0
B)	Acquisitio	on of Offsite Mitigation	\$	0
C)	C1) C2)	Utility Relocation (State Share) Potholing (Design Phase)	\$ \$	0 0
D)	Railroad	Acquisition	\$	0
E)	Clearanc	e / Demolition	\$	0
F)	Relocatio	n Assistance (RAP and/or Last Resort Housing Costs)	\$	0
G)	Title and	Escrow	\$	6,779
H)	Environm	iental Review	\$	0
I)	Condem	nation Settlements 0%	\$	0
J)	Design A	ppreciation Factor 0%	\$	0
K)	Utility Re	location (Construction Cost)	\$	15,313,000

L)	TOTAL RIGHT OF WAY ESTIMATE	\$16,319,379
M)	TOTAL R/W ESTIMATE: Escalated	\$21,847,336
N)	RIGHT OF WAY SUPPORT	\$1,200,000

Support Cost Estimate	Zoltan Elo	(213) 897- 0790	
Prepared By	Project Coordinator <sup>1</sup>	Phone	
Utility Estimate Prepared	Michele Graves	(213) 897-2858	
Ву	Utiliy Coordinator <sup>2</sup>	Phone	
R/W Acquistion Estimate	Victor Lee	(213) 897-3711	
Prepared By	Right of Way Estimator <sup>3</sup>	Phone	
Note: Items G & H applied to iten	ns A + B		

<sup>1</sup> When estimate has Support Costs only

<sup>2</sup> When estimate has Utility Relocation <sup>3</sup> When R/W Acquisition is required