MEMORANDUM

TO: The Governing Board

FROM: Joseph T. Edmiston, FAICP, Hon. ASLA, Executive Officer

DATE: August 7, 2012

SUBJECT: Agenda Item VI: Public hearing and discussion regarding the proposed mitigated negative declaration for the Marsh Park project.

Staff Recommendation: That the Governing Board conduct a public hearing and discussion regarding both the adequacy and completeness of the environmental documentation for the Marsh Park project.

Background: Marsh Park is located in the community of Elysian Valley in the City of Los Angeles, adjacent to the Los Angeles River. Improvements to the three-acre site will include an open-air picnic shelter, landscaped walking and nature trails with health and fitness stations, a restroom building and storage shed, a free play meadow, picnic tables, a community gathering/outdoor classroom area, bioswales, and parking for forty-three cars. Project construction will include demolition of the two existing on-site buildings, site grading, park construction and landscaping.

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code §21000 et seq.) and the CEQA Guidelines (Title 14, California Code of Regulations §15000 et seq.), an Initial Study (IS) was prepared to support the adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the Marsh Park project. The IS/MND evaluated the potential environmental impacts associated with project implementation and identifies the mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid the project’s significant adverse impacts on the environment.

Section 15367 of the CEQA Guidelines defines the Lead Agency as the public agency with the principal responsibility for carrying out or approving a project. The Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA) will be responsible for the approval and construction of the proposed project, as well as for its long-term maintenance. The MRCA is serving as the Lead Agency for the proposed project and is therefore responsible for complying with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.
Section 15063(c) of the CEQA Guidelines identifies the purposes of an Initial Study as follows:

(1) To provide the Lead Agency with information to use as the basis for deciding whether to prepare an EIR or a Negative Declaration;

(2) To enable an applicant or Lead Agency to modify a project, mitigating adverse impacts before an EIR is prepared, thereby enabling the project to qualify for a Negative Declaration;

(3) To assist in the preparation of an EIR, if one is required, by focusing the EIR on the effects determined to be significant, identifying the effects determined not to be significant, explaining the reasons for determining that potentially significant effects would not be significant, and identifying whether a program EIR, tiering, or another appropriate process can be used for analysis of the project's environmental effects;

(4) To facilitate environmental assessment early in the design of a project;

(5) To provide documentation of the factual basis for the finding in a Negative Declaration that a project will not have a significant effect on the environment;

(6) To eliminate unnecessary EIRs; and

(7) To determine whether a previously prepared EIR could be used with the project.

The Initial Study for the proposed project serves these purposes, and supports a Mitigated Negative Declaration. As analyzed in the IS, while the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case as revisions to the project have been made or agreed to.

The public review period for the IS/MND runs from July 13, 2012 through August 17, 2012. Notice to the public of the subject action was given via several methods. The Notice of Availability/Notice of Intent (NOA/NOI) was sent to local agencies, filed with the County Clerk, posted on MRCA’s website and mailed to all residents within 700 feet (73 residences). A notice was published in the Los Angeles Times on July 13, 2012, and the NOA/NOI and copies of the document were sent to the State Clearinghouse.

Following the public review period, the Governing Board shall consider adoption of the Mitigated Negative Declaration. Staff will respond in writing to all written comments and public testimony, which pertains to the adequacy and completeness of the environmental documentation received during the review period.