

- Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 1,000 feet of a major service and maintenance rail yard.
- Within one mile of a rail yard, consider possible siting limitations and mitigation approaches.

The project site is not within 1,000 feet of a major service and maintenance rail yard or within one mile of a rail yard. The Report does not contain any recommendations for limiting the location of sensitive uses in proximity to a rail line.

As noted in MND Checklist judgment explanation III(d), the CalEPA and CARB recommendations are not a regulatory standard, but merely serve as siting guidance. The proposed project is a largely passive community park, rather than a playground used daily by school children. The more regular users of the park are anticipated to be residents of the immediate area whose exposure would not be substantially changed by park use. Los Angeles River Trail riders and users from outside the immediate neighborhood are unlikely to use the park on a regular basis or for extended periods of time.

The proposed project is a park use and does not generate any hazardous materials, or significant air quality health risks, with implementation of the mitigation measures contained in the MND. (See discussion for MND Checklist Items III and VIII).

- 8-4 Please see MND Checklist Section III for an explanation of why project air quality impacts are "Less Than Significant." The MND includes the following construction air quality mitigation measures (MND page 22):

Mitigation 3-1: in order to reduce fugitive dust emissions during construction, the MRCA shall require the construction contractor to:

- Apply soil stabilizers or moisten inactive areas.
- Prepare a high wind dust control plan.
- Address previously disturbed areas if subsequent construction is delayed.
- Water exposed surfaces as needed to avoid visible dust leaving the construction site (typically 3 times/day).
- Cover all stock piles with tarps at the end of each day or as needed.
- Provide water spray during loading and unloading of earthen materials.
- Minimize in-out traffic from construction zone.

Mitigation 3-2: In order to reduce combustion engine emissions and diesel exhaust the MRCA shall require the construction contractor to:

- Utilize well-tuned off-road construction equipment.
- Establish a preference for contractors using upgraded (Tier 3 or better) heavy equipment.
- Enforce 5-minute idling limits for both on-road trucks and off-road equipment.

As detailed in MND Checklist Item III(b), operational air quality impacts are “Less Than Significant.” (See MND pages 21- 23 and MND Appendix A).

Please see MND Checklist Section XII and MND Appendix B for an explanation of why project noise impacts are “Less Than Significant” or “Less Than Significant With Mitigation.” The MND includes the following standard construction noise mitigation measure (MND page 48-49):

Mitigation 12-1: In order to reduce noise during construction, the MRCA shall require the construction contractor to:

- Comply with the City of Los Angeles Municipal Code, which limits construction activities to the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. on weekdays and 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on any Saturday. Construction is not permitted on any national holiday or on any Sunday.
- Ensure that all construction equipment is properly tuned and muffled according to manufacturer’s specifications.
- Prohibit use of any powered equipment or powered hand tool that produces a maximum noise level exceeding 75 dBA at a distance of 50 feet unless no means exist to reduce such noise below 75 dBA. If use of such equipment is necessary, a Construction Noise Mitigation plan shall be required to be prepared by the contractor and submitted for review and approval to the MRCA prior to the start of demolition or construction. Components of the plan may include early completion of the perimeter block wall, use of temporary sound curtains or substitution of larger heavy equipment with smaller, quieter machinery.
- Locate noisy construction activities whose specific location on the project site may be flexible (e.g., operation of compressors and generators, cement mixing, general truck idling) as far as possible from the nearest noise- and vibration- sensitive land uses.

- Minimize the use of those pieces of construction equipment or construction methods with the greatest peak noise generation. Examples include the use of drills, jackhammers, and pile drivers.
- To the degree feasible, schedule construction and demolition activities so as to avoid operating several pieces of equipment simultaneously, which causes high levels of noise.
- Comply with the City of Los Angeles Noise Ordinance No. 144,331 and 161,574, and any subsequent ordinances, which prohibit the emission or creation of noise beyond certain levels at adjacent uses unless technically infeasible.
- Limit construction truck traffic to truck routes approved by the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety, to avoid residential areas and other sensitive receptors to the extent feasible.
- Use power construction equipment with state-of-the art noise shielding and muffling devices.
- Comply with the City of Los Angeles Building Regulations Ordinance No. 178048, which requires a construction site notice to be provided that includes the following information: job site address, permit number, name and phone number of the contractor and owner or owner's agent, hours of construction allowed by code, or any discretionary approval for the project site, and telephone numbers where violations can be reported. The notice shall be posted and maintained at the construction site prior to the start of construction and displayed in a location that is readily visible to the public and approved by the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety.

The MND includes the following operational noise mitigation measures (MND page 49):

Mitigation 12-2: Groups with more than 50 planned attendees shall be required to obtain a special events permit from the MRCA. The MRCA shall include in their Special Event Guidelines for Marsh Park a statement that operation of any radio, video, musical instrument or other noise-generating device at a level which is audible beyond 150 feet from the park boundary is prohibited. The reservation form for the event shall identify limitations on number of attendees, event timing and noise control features such as orientation of any voice/music amplification.

Mitigation 12-3 -An MRCA staff monitor shall be present for any nighttime event to ensure that the event does not generate noise levels that would disturb the peace, quite and comfort of the neighbors.

Mitigation 12-4 – The MRCA shall post a sign on-site which provides a phone number for contacting the agency.

- 8-5 As explained in the MND, the project design includes bioswales. All runoff associated with the project would either be directed to landscaped areas or the bioswales or other stormwater quality best management practices (BMPs) for infiltration and water quality purposes. Water pollutants generated from the project are considered negligible. The proposed project will conform to all requirements of the Regional Water Quality control Board and Los Angeles Municipal Code and would not result in un-permitted discharges into the sanitary sewer and stormwater systems. Therefore, the proposed project would not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, and would have less than significant water quality impacts. (See MND discussion of Hydrology and Water Quality, Checklist Item XI, MND pages 39-43).
- 8-6 The proposed project will conform to all requirements of the Regional Water Quality control Board and Los Angeles Municipal Code and would not result in un-permitted discharges into the sanitary sewer and stormwater systems.
- 8-7 The proposed project is a passive park. Breathing equipment, ear covering/earmuffs and heart defibrillators are not typically provided at these types of parks. Failure to provide breathing equipment, ear covering/earmuffs and heart defibrillators does not result in any significant environmental impacts associated with the project. As detailed in the discussion of Checklist Item XIV-Public Services, the project site has adequate emergency access and adequate access to emergency services, in the event of an on-site medical emergency.
- 8-8 The commenter has not demonstrated that there will be “residual contamination.” Please see Response To Comment 8-5.

POSITION STATEMENT AND/OR REQUEST TO SPEAK

MEETING DATE: August 7 2012

NAME: MARY WHITELORD

ORGANIZATION REPRESENTED: ROSAKKA STREET NIGHBORHOOD
(Only complete this line if you are the official representative of an organization.)

AGENDA ITEM NUMBER: 10M ARKISTEET PARK PROJECT
711' NUCE

GENERAL POSITION: President - We have sent
a packet by certified mail to Lana Soltzman
of all our issues with the park

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

I WOULD LIKE THE CHAIRPERSON TO READ MY VIEWS INTO THE RECORD.

I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THIS AGENDA ITEM.

9. Responses to Hearing Comments, Mary Whitecloud, August 7, 2012

Response

- 9-1 Responses to the referenced comments, as well as Ms. Whitecloud's oral comments at the public hearing are provided in response to Letters 5, 6 and 12.

Since the purpose of this document is to address the potential environmental effects of the project, responses are not provided herein to comments on the project, or its design, as part of the MND. Project and design comments, questions and suggestions will be addressed outside the environmental process as part of the Board's project consideration. Any design comments, questions and suggestions included in the comment letter are hereby transmitted to the MRCA Board for their consideration.

POSITION STATEMENT AND/OR REQUEST TO SPEAK

MEETING DATE: 8-7-12

NAME: Paul Iwasaki

ORGANIZATION REPRESENTED: RSNA
(Only complete this line if you are the official representative of an organization.)

AGENDA ITEM NUMBER: #6

GENERAL POSITION: Was promise by Councilman Caccetti that

the Roxanna St Gate Reman closed during peak hours. Why not install a fire hydrant inside the Park for safety. Please use Roxanna St. Entrance only for Emergency & Service
PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

10-1

10-2

I WOULD LIKE THE CHAIRPERSON TO READ MY VIEWS INTO THE RECORD.

I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THIS AGENDA ITEM.

Entrance only. Please move park lots to the North/West corner of the property, away from residents homes. In the area where the picnic shelter is currently located. The Free-Play Meadow should be layed ~~out~~ with gentle rolling mounds so it can't be used as a soccer field.

10. Responses To Hearing Comments, Paul Ibusuki, August 7, 2012

Response

10-1 Since the purpose of this document is to address the potential environmental effects of the project, responses are not provided herein to comments on the project, or it's design, as part of the MND. Project and design comments, questions and suggestions will be addressed outside the environmental process as part of the Board's project consideration. Any design comments, questions and suggestions included in the comment letter are hereby transmitted to the MRCA Board for their consideration.

Please also see Letter 4 from Oliver DelGado, Field Deputy, Office of Councilmember Eirc Garcetti, which represents that the Rosanna Street Neighborhood Alliance has "signed off" on the Rosanna entrance, whether for emergencies or public access.

10-2 As described in the MND, both the Rosanna Street and Gleneden Street entrances will be available for emergency services. See Response to Comment 10-1.

10-3 Since the purpose of this document is to address the potential environmental effects of the project, responses are not provided herein to comments on the project, or it's design, as part of the MND. Project and design comments, questions and suggestions will be addressed outside the environmental process as part of the Board's project consideration. Any design comments, questions and suggestions included in the comment letter are hereby transmitted to the MRCA Board for their consideration.

Responses to Oral Comments at the Public Hearing on Tuesday, August 7, 2012:

10-4 Mr. Ibusuki expressed concern regarding the number and location of fire hydrants in the project area.

Response: Project plans are subject to review by the Los Angeles Fire Department before issuance of any construction-related permits as explained in the explanation of MND Checklist Judgment XIV(a) on MND page 51: "The proposed location of project access and fire hydrants are subject to review and approval by the LAFD to ensure that fire protection for the site is sufficient to meet fire safety requirements." Consistent with standard practice, in the event that the Fire Department feels that

additional fire hydrants are needed within park grounds, this will be made a project requirement. This standard review by the Fire Department will ensure that any fire-flow and fire-safety impacts are less than significant. The Fire Department has not expressed any concern with the proposed park design.

- 10-5 Mr. Ibusuki expressed concern regarding the possible location of an underground storage tank with diesel fuel from the prior Mayflower Trucking use of the site, being present on the site.

Response: Mr. Ibusuki is correct. One 5,000-gallon underground storage tank (UST), formerly containing gasoline, was once located on the site. It was removed by Whitaker Concrete Corporation in March of 1989. At that time soil samples were collected from below the tank invert. The samples detected low concentrations of TRPH by U.S. EPA Method 418.1 at concentrations below 100 mg/kg. Based on the information from the tank report, the two soil gas-sampling points, and two soil borings drilled at the former UST location, the Phase II Environmental Assessment by CAPE concluded that no additional assessment was required. (Phase II Environmental Assessment at page 7). Soil samples did not reveal the potential for any significant impacts.

POSITION STATEMENT AND/OR REQUEST TO SPEAK

MEETING DATE: August 7, 2012

NAME: Jeanne T. Paratore

ORGANIZATION REPRESENTED: RSNA (Rosanna St. Neighborhood Alliance)
(Only complete this line if you are the official representative of an organization.)

AGENDA ITEM NUMBER: #6 MARSH STREET PARK PROJECT (MARCH P

GENERAL POSITION: ASST. to MARY WHITECLOUD

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

- I WOULD LIKE THE CHAIRPERSON TO READ MY VIEWS INTO THE RECORD.
- I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THIS AGENDA ITEM.

*Depends on the date and time.
 If I can attend I will speak otherwise
 Mary Whitecloud, RNA, pres. will represent
 us.*

*Our requests - Rosanna St Gate Remains closed during park hours. Access for
 Emergencies only - Speed Bump Entrance of park
 consider parking lots elsewhere not at end of our street NW corner →*

Why Not have entrance on
Ripple Place / Gleneden or Marsh
where there are already easements
Also - parking spaces? why so many?
(use existing parking spaces on lot already?)
or move them + make smaller.

11-1
Cont.

11. Responses To Hearing Comments, Joanne Paratore, August 7, 2012

Response

- 11-1 The commenter suggests design modifications, which are not based on the need to mitigate identified project impacts. Since the purpose of this document is to address the potential environmental effects of the project, responses are not provided herein to comments on the project, or its design, as part of the MND. Project and design comments, questions and suggestions will be addressed outside the environmental process as part of the Board's project consideration. Any design comments, questions and suggestions included in the comment letter are hereby transmitted to the MRCA Board for their consideration.

Please also see Letter 4 from Oliver DelGado, Field Deputy, Office of Councilmember Eirc Garcetti, which represents that the Rosanna Street Neighborhood Alliance has "signed off" on the Rosanna entrance, whether for emergencies or public access.

The Traffic Analysis prepared for the MND (see MND Appendix C) did not identify the need for speed bumps as a mitigation measures.

The 43 parking spaces are intended to ensure that normal park use will not result in users parking in the surrounding neighborhood, and to serve special event parking for groups using the picnic shelter and/or community gathering/outdoor classroom area. As noted on page 9 of the MND: the park plan provides 28 spaces more than anticipated to be needed for normal park use. Special event park use will be subject to MRCA Special Event Guidelines for the facility. Special Events of more than 50 persons or events occurring outside of normal park hours will be required to obtain an Event Permit from the MRCA. This requirement is designed to ensure that park parking will not occur in the surrounding neighborhood and to provide the MRCA with notification of events for monitoring purposes. Events larger than 50 persons during normal park hours will be required to have a Parking Management Plan. The threshold for requiring a Parking Management Plan during hours when the park is open for other users is based on an average vehicle occupancy of 1.75 persons and use of the 28 additional spaces, beyond the spaces needed for normal park use, for the special event ($28 * 1.75 = 49$). The full 43 spaces would be available for special, by reservation only, events outside normal park hours, or when the park is closed to other users. These events will be subject to MRCA Special Event Guidelines for the facility, which will include requirements for a Parking Management Plan for events larger than 75 persons, to ensure that there is no spill-over parking into the residential neighborhood.

The threshold for requiring a Parking Management Plan during hours when the park is closed to other users is based on average vehicle occupancy of 1.75 persons, with vehicles using the 43 spaces ($43 \times 1.75 = 75.25$).

August 1, 2012

LETTER 12

Dear Laura,

It was good talking to you the other day. Here is the package of letters and correspondence on the Marsh Street Park project.

I'm including one of my original letters with Chuck Arnold to show you how long I've worked on this project. Whew! I'm tired of talking about it, but the fact of the matter is that parking lots near our houses were never in the plans and we were always promised no drive in

entrance on Rosanna Street, this
will impact the quality of our
lives and the value of our homes
on a now quiet street.

Thank you for your time

Sincerely

Mary Whitelord

Chronological order of events with regards to the development of Marsh Street Park:

2002 Residents were informed of the development of the vacant lot at the end of Rosanna Street into a park to be named Marsh Street Park. A letter from Chuck Arnold dated July 5, 2002 addressed to Mary Whitecloud iterating the park concept and design is on file. The letter has specifications on what elements would be included in the park which include picnic areas, access to the river and bike path and many shade trees. A first town hall meeting was held during this time to present to the neighborhood, MRCA's proposed plan and lay-out of the park. During this time, the first plans showed the entrance of the plan to be at Marsh Street and an exit at Gleneden Street. We were also informed that the structure housing Janel Glass Factory was to be demolished after Janel's lease expired which was supposed to happen 2 years from 2002. The Janel Building Demolition Summary is on file. The first plans did not have Janel Building incorporated in it.

2008 July 17, 2008: The residents of Rosanna Street submitted a signed petition to Councilman Eric Garcetti of the City of Los Angeles, and Leslie Chan of MRCA reiterating our interest in the Marsh Street Park Project, but our opposition to making Rosanna Street the entrance and exit to the Park. Public Meetings dated August 12, 2008 and September 6, 2008 were convened to talk about the master plan and final stages of the Marsh Street Park. On September 11, 2008, the residents of Rosanna Street wrote another letter (copy on file) to Councilman Eric Garcetti and Leslie Chan, MRCA expressing their disappointment in the outcomes of the meetings that we were asked to attend.

Over the years several businesses have occupied the space in question causing concern as to various possible toxic materials that may have been dumped in the soil over the years. The following are the conditions and businesses that the residents of Rosanna Street have been faced with:

1. Industrial size tent rental business (circus tents)
2. Mayflower moving trucks and semis – the trucks/semis were serviced in this vicinity. Loud music emanating from the trucks during the day and also during the night without consideration of the residents of Rosanna Street.
3. Auto impound lot – they held car auctions every 1st Saturday of the month and people parked on our street, fixed their cars on our street and left all their garbage and waste on our street
4. Distribution of LA city trash containers – trucks passed by our street at all times of the day endangering kids playing.
5. Toyota surplus storage – Toyota drivers drove like maniacs not taking into consideration the kids playing on the streets.
6. Florintino Trucking – truck washing facilities and gas pumps
7. Janel Glass Factory – glass breaking, telephone ringing (on speaker) at all hours of the day and night.

July 17, 2008

From: The Residents of Rosanna Street, Los Angeles 90039

Attn: City of Los Angeles
Leslie Chan, Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
Los Angeles Neighborhood Land Trust
Council District 13 City of Los Angeles
Eric Garcetti

Re: Entrance to District 13 Park Design at the end of Rosanna Street. Los Angeles 90039

The Residents of Rosanna Street are very interested in the development of the Marsh Park, however we do not want a drive in or out entrance on our street, nor do we want parking lots near our homes.

Signed the Residents,

1. Mary Whiteland 2919 Rosanna St LA 90039
2. Bonnie A. Hill 2919 Rosanna St. 90039
3. Janet Paratore 2910 Rosanna Street
4. PAUL Ibusuki 2920 Rosanna St 90039
5. SACHIKO IBUSUKI 2920 ROSANNA ST LA 90039
6. Elsa Palomino 2909 Rosanna St 90039
7. Raquel Mora 2906 Rosanna St 90039
8. JEFFREY BELTRAN 2847 Rosanna St. 90039
9. Ofelia Zepeda 2846 Rosanna St. L.A. 90039
10. DAVID KOENIG 2910 1/2 ROSANNA ST LA CA 90039
11. AMANDA DANIERON 2910 1/2 ROSANNA LA CA 90039
12. JOSEPHINE ALVAREZ 2917 ROSANNA ST. L.A CA 90039
13. Camille Frigidarone 2917 Rosanna St. LA CA 90039
14. KENNETH FORD 2904 ROSANNA ST. LA CA 90039
15. Jovanna Lopez 2907 Rosanna St. LA CA 90039

16. Kelly Flores 2857 Rosanna St.
17. Al. Jose Flores 2906 1/2 ROSANNA ST.
18. Michael J Landon 2900 Rosanna ST 90039
19. M.S. Landon 2900 Rosanna St
20. May Landon 2900 ROSANNA ST
21. Juan M. 2857 Rosanna St
22. Nohely Thomas 2852 Rosanna St
23. Edgar M. Zepeda 2846 Rosanna St. L.A. 90039
24. Sergio Zepeda 2846 Rosanna St. LA CA 90039
25. Claudia Hozu 2826 Rosanna St. LA CA 90039
26. Ben Carlo Salinas 2826 Rosanna St LA CA 90039
27. Arsenio M. Mudo 2816 Rosanna St.
28. Mercedes Rosa 2802 Rosanna St.
29. Cecilia Humb 2827 Rosanna St
30. Jose N. Urujo 2827 ROSANNA ST
31. Herbert Carmona 2845 ROSANNA ST.
32. LEONARDO VASQUEZ 2901 ROSANNA ST.
33. Jenni Casas 2901 Rosanna St 90039
34. Aaron Fandi 2901 Rosanna St.
35. Mercedes Riba 2901 Rosanna St.
36. Tony Garcia 2907 1/2 Rosanna St 90039
37. Benito Busa 2920 ROSANNA ST 90039

CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS FAX WHICH INCLUDED Nos. 1-37

July 17, 2008

From: The Residents of Rosanna Street, Los Angeles 90039

Attn: City of Los Angeles
Leslie Chan, Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
Los Angeles Neighborhood Land Trust
Council District 13 City of Los Angeles
Eric Garcetti

Re: Entrance to District 13 Park Design at the end of Rosanna Street. Los Angeles 90039

The Residents of Rosanna Street are very interested in the development of the Marsh Park, however we do not want a drive in or out entrance on our street, nor do we want parking lots near our homes.

Signed the Residents,

38. ANN ABLEHAY SANNU 2910 3/4 ROSANNA ST CA 90039
39. WANDEE SUNNU 2910 ROSANNA ST CA 90039
40. Alex Thomas 2852 Rosanna St LA CA 90039
41. Conrado Borjas 2853 Rosanna St LA CA 90039
42. Edna San Luis 2843 Rosanna St LA 90039
43. Laura Pineda 2805 1/2 Rosanna St LA CA 90039
44. Elsa Palomino 2909 Rosanna St LA CA 90039
45. Patricia F. 2906 1/2 Rosanna St LA 90039
46. Michelle 2852 Rosanna St LA CA 90039
47. Yvette 2852 Rosanna St LA CA 90039
48. Yolanda 2836 Rosanna St LA CA 90039
49. Janet Wong 2842 Rosanna St LA CA 90039